Arch Iran Med. August 2022;25(8):564-573 10.34172/aim.2022.90

Objectives, Outcomes, Facilitators, and Barriers of Telemedicine Systems for Patients with Alzheimer's Disease and their Caregivers and Care Providers: A Systematic Review

Parasto Amiri, Msc¹; Zahra Niazkhani, MD, PhD²; Habibollah Pirnejad, MD, PhD^{3,4}; Mahdie ShojaeiBaghini, PhD⁵; Kambiz Bahaadinbeigy, MD, PhD^{5*}

¹Student Research Committee, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran

²Nephrology and Kidney Transplant Research Center, Clinical Research Institute, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran

³Patient Safety Research Center, Clinical Research Institute, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran

⁴Erasmus School of Health Policy and Management, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

⁵Medical Informatics Research Center, Institute for Futures Studies in Health, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran

Supplementary file1

 Table S1. Characteristics of included studies in our study

Authors (publication year ^{\$})	Country*	Type of study	Healthcare provider setting	Patient setting	Sample population	Study duration	Intervention participants	Type of caregiver(s)	Technology intervention	Objectives	Outcomes	drivers	Barriers
Harvey <i>et al.</i> ¹ (1998)	UK, Scotland, Ireland	Quantitative/ Cohort	Academic	Home	PwD and AD <65 and Cg (N=241)	Two years	Р-Р & Сд-Р	Family members, general practitioner, and hospital doctor	Telephone-based	Evaluating telecare services	Cost effectivene ss	Involvin g clinician s	N/M
Lee <i>et al.</i> ² (2000)	South Korea	Quantitative/ RCT	Academic	Clinic	PwD and AD >65, Cg and Care personnel (N=140)	Two years	P-P & Cg-P	N/M	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Acceptability & Reliability	Cost effectivene ss & Patient/pro vider satisfactio n & Improving clinical outcomes & Improving behavioral symptoms patients	Reducin g travel and saving time & Involvin g family & Training patients for self- administ ration & facilitati ng and supporti ng local services for the patient	Patients prefer face- to-face & Need internet & Software errors & Communicati on equipment errors & Expressing tense and frightened in the beginning
Mahoney <i>et al.</i> ³ (2003)	UK	Quantitative/ RCT	Academic	Home	Cg>21 (N=100, control group=51, intervention group=49)	6, 12 and 18 months	Cg-P	Sibling, Child, Spouses	Telephone-based	Ancillary tools to empower PwD or Cg	Reducing caregivers disruptive behaviors	Reducin g caregive r burden	Privacy
Shores <i>et al.</i> ⁴ (2004)	USA	Quantitative/ Cohort	Academic	Home	PwD and AD>=60 Mean age=78.7 (N=85, n=18)	Five years	р-р	N/M	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Diagnosis	Patient/pro vider satisfactio n & Estimating prevalence and type of dementia	Providin g services in under- served rural areas& Reducin g travel and saving time & Increasi ng motivati on	Patients prefer face- to-face & Need internet & Privacy & Paying the cost to continue telemedicine services & Having difficulties related to audio echo & Having brief lag between auditory and

Poon <i>et al.</i> ⁵ (2005)	China	Quantitative/ RCT	No academic	Clinic	AD (n=22)	Six weeks	р.р	N/M	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Feasibility & Comparing the effects of telecare and face-to-face therapy & Acceptability	Cost effectivene ss & Patient/pro vider satisfactio n	Reducin g travel and saving time	Patients prefer face- to-face
Vestal <i>et al.</i> ⁶ (2006)	USA	Quantitative/ RCT	No academic	Clinic	AD mean age=73.9 (N=15 n=10)	N/M	р.р	N/M	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Comparing the effects of telecare and face-to-face therapy	Patient/pro vider satisfactio n	Providin g services in under- served rural areas & Reducin g travel and saving time	Need internet & Presence of background noise
Cullum <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ⁷ (2006)	USA	Quantitative/ Cross sectional	Academic	Home*	MCI Mean age=73 (n=14) Moderate AD Mean age=73 (n=19)	Two months	р.р	N/M	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Feasibility	Cost effectivene ss	N/M	Patients prefer face- to-face & Patients required assistance/car egiver
Loh <i>et al.</i> ⁸ (2007)	Australia	Quantitative/ RCT	Academic	Rural clinic	AD Mean age=79 (n=20) Physician (control group n=8, intervention group n=2)	N/M	Р-Р	N/M	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Diagnosis	N/M	Providin g services in under- served rural areas	Patients prefer face- to-face & Need internet & The telemedicine required that had good hearing and eyesight & Patients often have behavioral problems and they may not therefore be cooperative & Siting attentively in front of a television screen for at least an hour
Mundt <i>et al.</i> ⁹ (2007)	USA	Quantitative/ Cohort	Academic	Home	Individual>65 (n=36) MCI>65 (n=37) AD>65 (n=34)	4, 12 and 20 weeks	Р-Р	N/M	Telephone-based	Monitoring	Cost effectivene ss & Improving patient care	Helping to research	N/M

Smith <i>et al.</i> ¹⁰ (2007)	USA	Quantitative/ Case control	Academic	Home	Contact total (N=4472) Phone contact (n=1855) Video contact (n=2617)	six months	р.р	Social worker/care manager, Child	Other	Monitoring	Cost effectivene ss & Decreasing concern/w orry (lost or danger)/str ess/anxiety / depression & Reducing medication delivery problems	Providin g services in under- served rural areas & Training patients for self- administ ration & Involvin g clinician s	Patients required assistance/car egiver & Living in their own home or apartment & Turn off the monitor or camera by patient & Paying the cost to continue telemedicine services & Technical glitches & The equipment was a bit intrusive
Wray <i>et al.</i> ¹¹ (2010)	USA	Quantitative/ RCT	Academic	Home	PwD, AD and Cg (N=1649, n=158)	6-12 months	P-P & Cg-P	N/M	Telephone-based	Ancillary tools to empower PwD or Cg	Cost effectivene ss	Training patients for self- administ ration & Reducin g in the number of nursing home days	Patients required assistance/car egiver
Barton <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ¹² (2011)	USA	Quantitative/ Cross sectional	Academic	Rural clinic	Moderate AD Mean age=79.1 (n=19) MCI Mean age=79.1 (n=2) Individual Mean age=79.1 (n=1)	N/M	Р-Р	N/M	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Diagnosis	Cost effectivene ss & Patient/pro vider satisfactio n	Providin g services in under- served rural areas & Reducin g travel and saving time & Training caregive rs	Patients prefer face- to-face & Security (HIPAA) & Patients required assistance/car egiver & Needing to room in clinic
Oderda <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ¹³ (2011)	USA	Qualitative/ Description	Academic	Home	Clinical pharmacists (n=2) PwD and AD	One year	P-P & Cg-P	N/M	Telephone-based	Developing	Cost effectivene ss & Improving patient care	Reducin g caregive r burden	N/M

Weiner <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ¹⁴ (2011)	USA	Quantitative/ Non randomized trial	No academic	Clinic	PwD and AD (n=85)	5 years	Р-Р	N/M	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Diagnosis & Management	Cost effectivene ss & Increasing quality of Life	Providin g services in under- served rural areas & Involvin g family	Patients prefer face- to-face & Needing to room in clinic & Some patients did not understand that they had seen a doctor
Wilz <i>et al.</i> ¹⁵ (2011)	Germany	Quantitative/ RCT	Academic	Home	Cg (N=343, n=229)	2 years	Cg-P	N/M	Telephone-based	Effectiveness	N/M	Involvin g family & Increasi ng motivati on	Patients required assistance/car egiver
Azad <i>et al.</i> ¹⁶ (2012)	Canada	Quantitative/ Cross sectional	No academic	Rural clinic	MCI or mild dementia and AD >=65 (n=99)	4 years	р.р	N/M	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Follow-up	Patient/pro vider satisfactio n & Improving patient care	Providin g services in under- served rural areas & Reducin g travel and saving time & Involvin g family & Increasi ng safety and risk manage ment (e.g. prevent falls in night)	Patients prefer face- to-face & Patients required assistance/car egiver & Privacy & Needing to room in clinic & Technical glitches & Software errors & Increasing anxiety
Chou <i>et al.</i> ¹⁷ (2012)	Taiwan	Qualitative/ Description	Academic	Home	Cg Mean age=82.6 (n=30)	6 month	Cg-P	Child, Spouses	Other	Ancillary tools to empower PwD or Cg & Evaluating the user friendliness, usefulness of telecare	Decreasing concern/w orry (lost or danger)/str ess/anxiety / depression & Improving patient care &	Reducin g caregive r burden & Increasi ng safety and risk manage ment (e.g.	Unfamiliar with technology

											Reducing uncertainty	prevent falls in night) & Usefuln ess, Ease of use	
Glueckauf <i>et al.</i> ¹⁸ (2012)	USA	Quantitative/ RCT	Academic	Home	Cg Mean age=60.89 (N=14, n=10, control group =5, intervention group =5)	6 months	Cg-P	N/M	Telephone-based	Comparing the effects of telecare and face-to-face therapy	N/M	N/M	Patients prefer face- to-face
Martin-Khan <i>et al.</i> ¹⁹ (2012)	Australia	Quantitative/ Cohort	Academic	Clinic	PwD and AD >=50 (N=270, n=205) Physician (n=2)	2 years	Р-Р	N/M	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Validity a scale	Cost effectivene ss & Patient/pro vider satisfactio n	Providin g services in under- served rural areas & Reducin g travel and saving time & Helping to diagnosi s of dementi a	Patients prefer face- to-face & Need internet
Mitseva et al^{20} (2012)	Greece, Finland, Denmark, and UK	Quantitative/ Cross sectional	Academic	Home®	MCI or mild dementia (n=80) Cg (N=7, control group = 45, intervention group = 26)	15 months	P-P & Cg-P	Child, Spouses	Other	Ancillary tools to empower PwD or Cg	Patient/pro vider satisfactio n & Increasing quality of Life & Improving patient care & Decreasing concern/w orry (lost or danger)/str ess/anxiety / depression	Reducin g travel and saving time & Increasi ng safety and risk manage ment (e.g. prevent falls in night), Accepti ng the technolo gy and the services	Patients prefer face- to-face & Need to hardware (e.g. computer, laptop, microphone, webcam, sensor and) at home & Need internet & Privacy
Parikh et al. ²¹	USA	Quantitative/	Academic	Room	Individual	10-20 years	P-P	N/M	VC / VTM / CVT /	Acceptability	Patient/pro	N/M	Patients

(2013)		RCT			(n=21) AD (n=7) MCI (n=12)				VTC		vider satisfactio n		prefer face- to-face & Need to hardware (e.g. computer, laptop, microphone, webcam, sensor and) at home & Privacy & The telemedicine required that had good hearing and evesight
Martin <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ²² (2013)	UK	Qualitative/ Description	Academic	Home®	PwD and AD (n=8)	3 months	р_р	N/M	Other	Ancillary tools to empower PwD or Cg	Improving patient care	Increasi ng safety and risk manage ment (e.g. prevent falls in night)	Patients prefer face- to-face & Living in their own home or apartment
Tchalla <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ²³ (2013)	France	Quantitative/ RCT	No academic	Home	Mild and moderate AD >65 (N=96, intervention group=49, control group= 47)	One year	р.р	N/M	Telephone-based	Ancillary tools to empower PwD or Cg	N/M	Increasi ng safety and risk manage ment (e.g. prevent falls in night)	Need to hardware (e.g. computer, laptop, microphone, webcam, sensor and) at home
Williams <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ²⁴ (2013)	USA	Quantitative/ RCT	Academic	Home ^{&}	Cg (N= N/M)	3 months	Cg-P	N/M	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Monitoring	Increasing quality of Life	Providin g services in under- served rural areas	Need to hardware (e.g. computer, laptop, microphone, webcam, sensor and) at home & Need internet & Security (HIPAA) & Privacy
Harrell <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ²⁵ (2014)	USA	Quantitative/ Cross sectional	No academic	Clinic	PwD, AD and Cg Mean age=74.88 (N=100,	2 weeks	Р-Р	N/M	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Feasibility	N/M	Reducin g travel and saving time	Need to hardware (e.g. computer, laptop,

					n=31)								microphone, webcam, sensor and) at home
Jelcic <i>et al.</i> ²⁶ (2014)	Italy	Quantitative/ RCT	No academic	Clinic	AD (N=38, n=27)	3 months	Р-Р	N/M	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Feasibility & Efficacy	Cost effectivene ss	Providin g services in under- served rural areas & Increasi ng safety and risk manage ment (e.g. prevent falls in night)	Patients prefer face- to-face
Cullum <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ²⁷ (2014)	USA	Quantitative/ Case-control	Academic	Clinic	PwD and AD Mean age=68.5 (n=202)	N/M	р.р	N/M	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Comparing the effects of telecare and face-to-face therapy	N/M	Providin g services in under- served rural areas & Accepti ng the technolo gy and the services	Patients prefer face- to-face & Need internet & Needing to room in clinic & The telemedicine required that had good hearing and eyesight
O'Connell <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ²⁸ (2014)	Canada	Qualitative/ Description	No academic	Home	Cg (N=10, n=7)	18 months	Cg-P	Spouses	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Developing	N/M	Providin g services in under- served rural areas & Reducin g travel and saving time	Patients prefer face- to-face & Need internet & Security (HIPAA) & Technical problems related to the virtual nature of the connection & Presence of background noise
Catic <i>et al.</i> ²⁹ (2014)	USA	Quantitative/ Cross sectional	No academic	Long-term care sites	AD Mean age=82 (N=47, n=39)	Median= 18 months	Р-Р	N/M	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Presenting the experiences and outcomes of designing and	Cost effectivene ss & Improving clinical outcomes	N/M	Need to hardware (e.g. computer, laptop, microphone,

										implementin g an telecare	& Decreasing hospitaliza tion and mortality		webcam, sensor and) at home & Need internet & Security (HIPAA) & Satisfying medical licensing requirements in some states that do not allow licensed physicians from other states to consult with their physicians
Schaller <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ³⁰ (2015)	Germany	Quantitative/ Cross sectional	Academic	Home	Cg (n=42)	3 months	Cg-P	Child, Spouses	Other	Ancillary tools to empower PwD or Cg	Cost effectivene ss & Decreasing concern/w orry (lost or danger)/str ess/anxiety / depression	Reducin g travel and saving time & Reducin g caregive r burden & Increasi ng motivati on	Privacy
Cheong <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ³¹ (2015)	South Korea	Quantitative/ RCT	Academic	Rural clinic	PwD and AD <=70 (N=442, n=427, control group =259, intervention group =168)	Five year	Р-Р	N/M	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Effectiveness	Patient/pro vider satisfactio n	Accepti ng the technolo gy and the services & Decreasi ng the disease progress ion in dementi a patients in rural areas	Patients prefer face- to-face & Security (HIPAA)
Hattink <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ³² (2015)	The Netherlands, Sweden, Italy, Malta, Romania, and	Quantitative/ RCT	Academic	Home	Cg (N=142, In the Netherlands=85 and in UK=57)	For 2 to 4 months	Cg-P	N/M	Other	Evaluating the user friendliness, usefulness of telecare	N/M	Usefuln ess & User friendlin ess	Need to hardware (e.g. computer, laptop,

	UK												microphone, webcam, sensor and) at home & Need internet & No having computer at home
Kim <i>et al.</i> ³³ (2015)	South Korea	Quantitative/ RCT	Academic	Clinic	PwD and AD (N=188, control group =90, intervention group =98)	Five years	р.р	N/M	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Effectiveness	N/M	Providin g services in under- served rural areas	Patients prefer face- to-face & Need to hardware (c.g. computer, laptop, microphone, webcam, sensor and) at home
Pakrasi <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ³⁴ (2015)	UK and USA	Qualitative/ Description	No academic	Home	PwD and AD in UK (N=125 n=26) Family in UK and USA (n=109)	Three years	Р-Р	N/M	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Ancillary tools to empower PwD or Cg	Cost effectivene ss &Increasin g quality of Life & Reducing Stigma	Providin g services in under- served rural areas	N/M
Bowes <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ³⁵ (2016)	The Faroe Islands, Greenland, Sweden and Scotland	Mix method/ Cross sectional	Academic	Home	PwD and AD >60 and Cg (n=78)	N/M	P-P & Cg-P	N/M	Other	Developing & Evaluating telecare services	N/M	Reducin g travel and saving time, facilitati ng and supporti ng local services for the patient	Living in their own home or apartment
Burton <i>et</i> <i>al</i> . ³⁶ (2016)	Canada	Quantitative/ Cross sectional	Academic	Room [#]	MCI (n=5) AD (n=8) AD / VaD (n=2)	3 years	P-P & Cg-P	N/M	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Developing	Patient/pro vider satisfactio n	Increasi ng motivati on	N/M
Lindauer <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ³⁷ (2017)	USA	Quantitative/ RCT	Academic	Home	PwD and AD Mean age=71.6 (n=33) Cg Mean age=65.3 (n=33)	2 weeks	P-P & Cg-P	N/M	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Feasibility & Reliability	Cost effectivene ss	N/M	Patients prefer face- to-face & Need to hardware (e.g. computer, laptop, microphone, webcam,

													sensor and) at home & Need internet & Security (HIPAA) & The telemedicine required that had good hearing and eyesight & Technical problems related to the virtual nature of the connection
Mavandadi et al. ³⁸ (2017)	USA	Quantitative/ RCT	Academic	Home	Cg Mean age=64 (n=440)	3 and 6 months	Cg-P	N/M	Telephone-based	Ancillary tools to empower PwD or Cg	N/M	Providin g services in under- served rural areas, Reducin g caregive r burden	N/M
Tremont <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ³⁹ (2017)	Island	Quantitative/ RCT	Academic	Home	Cg Mean age=62.7 (N=250 control group =133, intervention group =117)	>6 months	Cg-P	N/M	Telephone-based	Effectiveness	Cost effectivene ss & Decreasing concern/w orry (lost or danger)/str ess/anxiety / depression & Decreasing hospitaliza tion and mortality	N/M	N/M
Burton <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ⁴⁰ (2018)	Canada	Quantitative/ RCT	Academic	Room [#]	SCI (n=4) AD (n=1)	> 8 weeks	Cg-P	N/M	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Feasibility & Acceptability	Increasing quality of Life	N/M	N/M
Dang et al. ⁴¹ (2018)	USA	Quantitative/ Cross	No academic	Clinic room	PwD and AD (n=94)	19 months	P-P & Cg-P	N/M	VC/VTM/CVT/ VTC	Feasibility & Acceptability	Patient/pro vider	Providin g	N/M

		sectional			Cg (n=41)						satisfactio n	services in under- served rural areas & Helping to diagnosi s of dementi a	
Powers <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ⁴² (2018)	USA	Quantitative/ Cross sectional	No academic	Outpatient clinic	PwD and AD (n=36)	3 years	P-P & Cg-P	Family members, Spouses	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Ancillary tools to empower PwD or Cg	Patient/pro vider satisfactio n	Providin g services in under- served rural areas & Reducin g travel and saving time	Need to hardware (e.g. computer, laptop, microphone, webcam, sensor and) at home & Security (HIPAA) & Increasing stress in caregiver
Lindauer et al. ⁴³ (2018)	USA	Mix method/ Cross sectional	Academic	Home	Cg (n=20)	2 months	Cg-P	N/M	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Feasibility & Efficacy & Examining cost of implementin g a telecare	Cost effectivene ss	Involvin g family & Training caregive rs	Need to hardware (e.g. computer, laptop, microphone, webcam, sensor and) at home & Need internet & Unfamiliar with technology & Increasing anxiety & Increasing stress in caregiver & Increasing Stigma
Carotenuto <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁴ (2018)	Italy	Quantitative/ RCT	Academic	Hospital room	AD>50 (n=28)	24 months	P-P & Cg-P	Child, Spouses	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Examining and assess a scale	N/M	Reducin g travel and saving time	Patients prefer face- to-face & Need to hardware (e.g. computer, laptop, microphone, webcam,

													sensor and) at home & Need internet & Security (HIPAA) & Patients required assistance/car egiver & The telemedicine required that had good hearing and eyesight
Chang <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁵ (2018)	USA	Quantitative/ Observationa 1	Academic	Rural clinic	PwD and AD (n=199)	One year	P-P & Cg-P	N/M	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Ancillary tools to empower PwD or Cg	Increasing quality of Life & Reducing medication delivery problems	N/M	Need to hardware (e.g. computer, laptop, microphone, webcam, sensor and) at home & Security (HIPAA) & Needing to room in clinic
Griffith <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ⁴⁶ (2018)	USA	Quantitative/ Before-after	Academic	Home	Cg (N=64 n=57)	6 weeks	Cg-P	Spouses	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Feasibility & Efficacy	Decreasing concern/w orry (lost or danger)/str ess/anxiety / depression	Providin g services in under- served rural areas & Reducin g caregive r burden & Training caregive rs	Need to hardware (e.g. computer, laptop, microphone, webcam, sensor and) at home & Need internet & Privacy
Wilz <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁷ (2018)	Germany	Quantitative/ RCT	Academic	Home	Cg Mean age =64.10 (N=273, intervention group= 139, control group = 134)	6 months	Cg-P	Child, Spouses	Telephone-based	Effectiveness	Patient/pro vider satisfactio n & Decreasing concern/w orry (lost or danger)/str ess/anxiety /	Involvin g family	Patients prefer face- to-face

											depression & Improving behavioral symptoms patient		
Wadsworth et al. ⁴⁸ (2018)	USA	Quantitative/ RCT	Academic	N/M	PwD and AD (N=197, control group= 119, intervention group= 78)	7 and 14 days	Р-Р	N/M	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Ancillary tools to empower PwD or Cg	N/M	N/M	Patients prefer face- to-face & Need to hardware (e.g. computer, laptop, microphone, webcam, sensor and) at home & Need internet
Töpfer <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ⁴⁹ (2018)	Germany	Quantitative/ RCT	Academic	Home	Cg mean age =64.19 (N=273, intervention group = 139, control group = 134)	One year	Cg-P	N/M	Telephone-based	Ancillary tools to empower PwD or Cg	N/M	Involvin g family & Increasi ng motivati on	N/M
Lindauer <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ⁵⁰ (2019)	USA	Quantitative/ Before-after	Academic	Home	Cg (N=20)	2 months	Cg-P	Sibling, Spouses	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Efficacy	Cost effectivene ss & Decreasing concern/w orry (lost or danger)/str ess/anxiety / depression	Reducin g travel and saving time & Involvin g family & Reducin g caregive r burden	Need to hardware (e.g. computer, laptop, microphone, webcam, sensor and) at home & Need internet & Security (HIPAA)
Gustafson <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ⁵¹ (2019)	USA	Quantitative/ RCT	Academic	Home	Cg (N=31)	6 months	Cg-P	Child, Spouses	Other	Effectiveness	Decreasing concern/w orry (lost or danger)/str ess/anxiety / depression & Increasing quality of Life	Involvin g family & Reducin g caregive r burden	Need to hardware (e.g. computer, laptop, microphone, webcam, sensor and) at home & Turn off the monitor or camera by patient

Banbury <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ⁵² (2019)	Australia	Quantitative/ RCT	Academic	Home*	Cg Mean age=63 (N=69)	6 weeks	Cg-P	Child, Spouses	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Developing & Evaluating telecare services	N/M	N/M	Need internet & Needing to room in clinic
Williams <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ⁵³ (2019)	USA	Quantitative/ RCT	Academic	Home®	Cg (N=84, intervention group =43, control group =41)	3 months	Cg-P	Child, Spouses	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Ancillary tools to empower PwD or Cg	Patient/pro vider satisfactio n & Decreasing concern/w orry (lost or danger)/str ess/anxiety / depression	Involvin g family & Reducin g caregive r burden	Need to hardware (e.g. computer, laptop, microphone, webcam, sensor and) at home & Need internet & Living in their own home or apartment
Thomas <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ⁵⁴ (2019)	USA	Qualitative/ Case study	Academic	Home	Cg (N= N/M)	12-18 months	Cg-P	Spouses	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Comparing the effects of telecare and face-to-face therapy	Decreasing concern/w orry (lost or danger)/str ess/anxiety / depression	Reducin g caregive r burden	Need to hardware (e.g. computer, laptop, microphone, webcam, sensor and) at home
Mavandadi <i>et</i> <i>al.⁵⁵</i> (2019)	USA	Quantitative/ RCT	No academic	Home	Cg mean age =83.1 (N=158, n=107)	>18 months	Cg-P	Spouses	Telephone-based	Examining and assess a scale	Patient/pro vider satisfactio n & Increasing quality of Life	N/M	Patients required assistance/car egiver & Living in their own home or apartment
Moo <i>et al.⁵⁶</i> (2020)	USA	Quantitative/ Non randomized trials	Academic	Home	Family mean age for patient=82 (N=222 control group =184, intervention group =38)	One year	Cg-P	Social worker/care manager, Child, Spouses	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Comparing the effects of telecare and face-to-face therapy	Patient/pro vider satisfactio n & Decreasing concern/w orry (lost or danger)/str ess/anxiety / depression & Improving patient care	Reducin g travel and saving time	Need to hardware (e.g. computer, laptop, microphone, webcam, sensor and) at home & Need internet & Security (HIPAA) & Patients required assistance/car egiver

Laver <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁷ (2020)	Australia	Quantitative/ RCT	Academic	Home	PwD and AD (N=63)	4 months	Р-Р	N/M	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Comparing the effects of telehealth and face-to- face therapy	N/M	Reducin g travel	N/M
Williams <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ⁵⁸ (2021)	USA	Mix method/ RCT	Academic	Home	PwD and AD (N= 71) Cg (N= 83)	- 3 months	Cg-P	Child, Spouses	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Satisfaction, utilization, and feasibility of a telehealth intervention	Feasibility & Improving patient care	Involvin g family &	Privacy
Howard <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ⁵⁹ (2021)	UK	Quantitative/ RCT	Academic	Home	PwD and AD (N= 200)	3 months	р.р	N/M	Other	The effectiveness and cost- effectiveness of assistive technology and telecare	Cost effectivene ss & effectivene ss	Involvin g clinician s	N/M
Di Lorito <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ⁶⁰ (2021)	UK	Qualitative/ Case study	Academic	Home	PwD and AD>=65 (N=5) Cg (N=10)	4 months	Сg-Р Р-Р	Child, Spouses	VC / VTM / CVT / VTC	Tele- Rehabilitatio n for PwD during the COVID-19 pandemic	Time efficiency of sessions & enhancing participant s' motivation & caregivers' dementia awareness & therapists' creativity	Involvin g clinician s & Involvin g family	Patients required assistance/car egiver
the United Kingdom (UK), the United States of America (USA), Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI), People with Dementia (PwD), Caregiver (Cg), Alzheimer Disease (AD), Vascular Dementia (VaD), Subjective Cognitive Impairment (SCI), Patient-Physician (P-P), Caregiver-Physician (Cg-P), Video Conferencing (VC), Video Telemedicine (VTM), Clinical Video Telehealth (CVT), Video Telecommunication (VTM), and Not Mentioned (N/M)													

^s The year of publication of the articles in the table is from old to new

*Virtual room

[#]Room in university

[&]Smart or intelligence or expert home

References

Harvey R, Roques PK, Fox NC, Rossor MN. CANDID--Counselling and Diagnosis in Dementia: a national telemedicine service supporting the care of younger patients with dementia. International journal of geriatric psychiatry. 1998;13(6):381-8. doi: 10.1002/(sici)1099-1166.
 Lee JH, Kim JH, Jhoo JH, Lee KU, Kim KW, Lee DY, et al. A telemedicine system as a care modality for dementia patients in Korea. Alzheimer disease and associated

disorders. 2000;14(2):94-101. doi: 10.1097/00002093-200004000-00007.

3. Mahoney DF, Tarlow BJ, Jones RN. Effects of an automated telephone support system on caregiver burden and anxiety: findings from the REACH for TLC intervention study. The Gerontologist. 2003;43(4):556-67. doi: 10.1093/geront/43.4.556.

4. Shores MM, Ryan-Dykes P, Williams RM, Mamerto B, Sadak T, Pascualy M, et al. Identifying undiagnosed dementia in residential care veterans: comparing telemedicine to in-person clinical examination. International journal of geriatric psychiatry. 2004;19(2):101-8. doi: 10.1002/gps.1029.

5. Poon P, Hui E, Dai D, Kwok T, Woo J. Cognitive intervention for community-dwelling older persons with memory problems: telemedicine versus face-to-face treatment. International journal of geriatric psychiatry. 2005;20(3):285-6. doi: 10.1002/gps.1282.

6. Vestal L, Smith-Olinde L, Hicks G, Hutton T, Hart Jr J. Efficacy of language assessment in Alzheimer's disease: comparing in-person examination and telemedicine. Clinical interventions in aging. 2006;1(4):467. doi: 10.2147/ciia.2006.1.4.467.

7. Cullum CM, Weiner MF, Gehrmann HR, Hynan LS. Feasibility of telecognitive assessment in dementia. Assessment. 2006;13(4):385-90. doi: 10.1177/1073191106289065.

8. Loh P-k, Donaldson M, Flicker L, Maher S, Goldswain P. Development of a telemedicine protocol for the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. Journal of telemedicine and telecare. 2007;13(2):90-4. doi: 10.1258/135763307780096159.

9. Mundt JC, Kinoshita LM, Hsu S, Yesavage JA, Greist JH. Telephonic remote evaluation of neuropsychological deficits (TREND): longitudinal monitoring of elderly community-dwelling volunteers using touch-tone telephones. Alzheimer Disease & Associated Disorders. 2007;21(3):218-24. doi: 10.1097/WAD.0b013e31811ff2c9.

10. Smith GE, Lunde AM, Hathaway JC, Vickers KS. Telehealth home monitoring of solitary persons with mild dementia. American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease & Other Dementias (0.2007;22(1):20-6. doi: 10.1177/1533317506295888.

11. Wray LO, Shulan MD, Toseland RW, Freeman KE, Vásquez BE, Gao J. The effect of telephone support groups on costs of care for veterans with dementia. The Gerontologist. 2010;50(5):623-31. doi: 10.1093/geront/gnq040.

12. Barton C, Morris R, Rothlind J, Yaffe K. Video-telemedicine in a memory disorders clinic: evaluation and management of rural elders with cognitive impairment. Telemedicine journal and e-health : the official journal of the American Telemedicine Association. 2011;17(10):789-93. doi: 10.1089/tmj.2011.0083.

13. Oderda L, Holman C, Nichols B, Rupper R, Bair B, Hoffman M, et al. Pharmacist-managed telephone clinic review of antidementia medication effectiveness. The Consultant Pharmacist®. 2011;26(4):264-73. doi: 10.4140/TCP.n.2011.264.

14. Weiner MF, Rossetti HC, Harrah K. Videoconference diagnosis and management of Choctaw Indian dementia patients. Alzheimer's & Dementia. 2011;7(6):562-6. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2011.02.006.

15. Wilz G, Schinköthe D, Soellner R. Goal attainment and treatment compliance in a cognitive-behavioral telephone intervention for family caregivers of persons with dementia. GeroPsych. 2011. doi: 10.1024/1662-9647/a000043.

16. Azad N, Amos S, Milne K, Power B. Telemedicine in a rural memory disorder clinic-remote management of patients with dementia. Canadian geriatrics journal : CGJ. 2012;15(4):96-100. doi: 10.5770/cgj.15.28.

17. Chou HK, Yan SH, Lin IC, Tsai MT, Chen CC, Woung LC. A pilot study of the telecare medical support system as an intervention in dementia care: the views and experiences of primary caregivers. The journal of nursing research : JNR. 2012;20(3):169-80. doi: 10.1097/jnr.0b013e318263d916.

18. Glueckauf RL, Davis WS, Willis F, Sharma D, Gustafson DJ, Hayes J, et al. Telephone-based, cognitive-behavioral therapy for african american dementia caregivers with depression: Initial findings. Rehabilitation Psychology. 2012;57(2):124-39. doi: 10.1037/a0028688.

19. Martin-Khan M, Flicker L, Wootton R, Loh P-K, Edwards H, Varghese P, et al. The diagnostic accuracy of telegeriatrics for the diagnosis of dementia via video conferencing. Journal of the American Medical Directors Association. 2012;13(5):487. e19-. e24. doi: 10.1016/j.jamda.2012.03.004.

20. Mitseva A, Peterson CB, Karamberi C, Oikonomou LC, Ballis AV, Giannakakos C, et al. Gerontechnology: providing a helping hand when caring for cognitively impaired older adults—intermediate results from a controlled study on the satisfaction and acceptance of informal caregivers. Current gerontology and geriatrics research. 2012; 19(1):200-229. doi: 10.1155/2012/401705.

21. Parikh M, Grosch MC, Graham LL, Hynan LS, Weiner M, Shore JH, et al. Consumer acceptability of brief videoconference-based neuropsychological assessment in older individuals with and without cognitive impairment. The Clinical Neuropsychologist. 2013;27(5):808-17. doi: 10.1080/13854046.2013.791723.

22. Martin S, Augusto JC, McCullagh P, Carswell W, Zheng H, Wang H, et al. Participatory research to design a novel telehealth system to support the night-time needs of people with dementia: NOCTURNAL. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2013;10(12):6764-82. doi: 10.3390/ijerph10126764.

23. Tchalla AE, Lachal F, Cardinaud N, Saulnier I, Rialle V, Preux P-M, et al. Preventing and managing indoor falls with home-based technologies in mild and moderate Alzheimer's disease patients: pilot study in a community dwelling. Dementia and geriatric cognitive disorders. 2013;36(3-4):251-61. doi: 10.1159/000351863.

24. Williams K, Arthur A, Niedens M, Moushey L, Hutfles L. In-home monitoring support for dementia caregivers: a feasibility study. Clinical nursing research. 2013;22(2):139-50. doi: 10.1177/1054773812460545.

25. Harrell KM, Wilkins SS, Connor MK, Chodosh J. Telemedicine and the evaluation of cognitive impairment: the additive value of neuropsychological assessment. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2014;15(8):600-6. doi: 10.1016/j.jamda.2014.04.015.

26. Jelcic N, Agostini M, Meneghello F, Bussè C, Parise S, Galano A, et al. Feasibility and efficacy of cognitive telerehabilitation in early Alzheimer's disease: a pilot study. Clinical interventions in aging. 2014;9:1605-11. doi: 10.2147/CIA.S68145.

27. Cullum CM, Hynan L, Grosch M, Parikh M, Weiner M. Teleneuropsychology: Evidence for video teleconference-based neuropsychological assessment. Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society: JINS. 2014;20(10):1028. doi: 10.1017/S1355617714000873.

28. O'Connell ME, Crossley M, Cammer A, Morgan D, Allingham W, Cheavins B, et al. Development and evaluation of a telehealth videoconferenced support group for rural spouses of individuals diagnosed with atypical early-onset dementias. Dementia. 2014;13(3):382-95. doi: 10.1177/1471301212474143.

29. Catic AG, Mattison MLP, Bakaev I, Morgan M, Monti SM, Lipsitz L. ECHO-AGE: An Innovative Model of Geriatric Care for Long-Term Care Residents With Dementia and Behavioral Issues. Journal of the American Medical Directors Association. 2014;15(12):938-42. doi: 10.1016/j.jamda.2014.08.014.

30. Schaller S, Marinova-Schmidt V, Gobin J, Criegee-Rieck M, Griebel L, Engel S, et al. Tailored e-Health services for the dementia care setting: a pilot study of 'eHealthMonitor'. BMC medical informatics and decision making. 2015;15(1):1-9. doi: 10.1186/s12911-015-0182-2.

31. Cheong CK, Lim KH, Jang JW, Jhoo JH. The effect of telemedicine on the duration of treatment in dementia patients. Journal of telemedicine and telecare. 2015;21(4):214-8. doi:10.1177/1357633X14566571.

32. Hattink B, Meiland F, Van Der Roest H, Kevern P, Abiuso F, Bengtsson J, et al. Web-based STAR E-learning course increases empathy and understanding in dementia caregivers: Results from a randomized controlled trial in the netherlands and the United Kingdom. Journal of medical Internet research. 2015;17(10). doi: 10.2196/jmir.4025.

33. Kim H, Jhoo JH, Jang JW. The effect of telemedicine on cognitive decline in patients with dementia. Journal of telemedicine and telecare. 2017;23(1):149-54. doi: 10.1177/1357633X15615049.

34. Pakrasi S, Burmeister O, Coppola J, McCallum T, Loeb G. Ethical telehealth design for users with dementia. Gerontechnology. 2015; 13(4), 383–387. doi: 10.4017/gt.2015.13.4.002.00.

35. Bowes A, Dawson A, McCabe L. RemoDem: Delivering support for people with dementia in remote areas. Dementia (London, England). 2018;17(3):297-314. doi: 10.1177/1471301216643848.

36. Burton R, O'Connell ME, Morgan DG. Exploring interest and goals for videoconferencing delivered cognitive rehabilitation with rural individuals with mild cognitive impairment or dementia. NeuroRehabilitation. 2016;39(2):329-42. doi: 10.3233/NRE-161364.

37. Lindauer A, Seelye A, Lyons B, Dodge HH, Mattek N, Mincks K, et al. Dementia care comes home: patient and caregiver assessment via telemedicine. The Gerontologist. 2017;57(5):e85-e93. doi: 10.1093/geront/gnw206.

38. Mavandadi S, Wray LO, DiFilippo S, Streim J, Oslin D. Evaluation of a telephone-delivered, community-based collaborative care management program for caregivers of older adults with dementia. The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry. 2017;25(9):1019-28. doi: 10.1016/j.jagp.2017.03.015.

39. Tremont G, Davis JD, Ott BR, Galioto R, Crook C, Papandonatos GD, et al. Randomized trial of the family intervention: telephone tracking—caregiver for dementia caregivers: use of community and healthcare resources. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2017;65(5):924-30. doi: 10.1111/jgs.14684.

40. Burton RL, O'Connell ME. Telehealth rehabilitation for cognitive impairment: randomized controlled feasibility trial. JMIR research protocols. 2018;7(2):e43. doi: 10.2196/resprot.9420.

41. Dang S, Gomez-Orozco CA, van Zuilen MH, Levis S. Providing Dementia Consultations to Veterans Using Clinical Video Telehealth: Results from a Clinical Demonstration Project. Telemedicine journal and e-health : the official journal of the American Telemedicine Association. 2018;24(3):203-9. doi: 10.1089/tmj.2017.0089.

42. Powers JS, Buckner J. Reaching out to rural caregivers and veterans with dementia utilizing clinical video-telehealth. Geriatrics. 2018;3(2):29. doi: 10.3390/geriatrics3020029.

43. Lindauer A, Croff R, Mincks K, Mattek N, Shofner S, Bouranis N, et al. "It Took the Stress out of Getting Help": The STAR-C-Telemedicine Mixed Methods Pilot. Care weekly. 2018;2:7. doi: 10.14283/cw.2018.4.

44. Carotenuto A, Rea R, Traini E, Ricci G, Fasanaro AM, Amenta F. Cognitive Assessment of Patients With Alzheimer's Disease by Telemedicine: Pilot Study. JMIR mental health. 2018;5(2):e31. doi: 10.2196/mental.8097.

45. Chang W, Homer M, Rossi MI. Use of Clinical Video Telehealth as a Tool for Optimizing Medications for Rural Older Veterans with Dementia. Geriatrics (Basel, Switzerland). 2018;3(3). doi: 10.3390/geriatrics3030044.

46. Griffiths PC, Kovaleva M, Higgins M, Langston AH, Hepburn K. Tele-Savvy: An Online Program for Dementia Caregivers. American journal of Alzheimer's disease and other dementias. 2018;33(5):269-76. doi: 10.1177/1533317518755331.

47. Wilz G, Reder M, Meichsner F, Soellner R. The Tele. TAnDem intervention: Telephone-based CBT for family caregivers of people with dementia. The Gerontologist. 2018;58(2):e118-e29. doi: 10.1093/geront/gnx183.

48. Wadsworth HE, Dhima K, Womack KB, Hart Jr J, Weiner MF, Hynan LS, et al. Validity of teleneuropsychological assessment in older patients with cognitive disorders. Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology. 2018;33(8):1040-5. doi: 10.1093/arclin/acx140.

49. Töpfer NF, Wilz G. Tele. TAnDem increases the psychosocial resource utilization of dementia caregivers. GeroPsych. 2018; 31(4): 173–183. doi: 10.1024/1662-9647/a000197.

50. Lindauer A, McKenzie G, LaFazia D, McNeill L, Mincks K, Spoden N, et al. Using technology to facilitate fidelity assessments: The Tele-STAR caregiver intervention. Journal of medical Internet research. 2019;21(5):e13599. doi: 10.2196/13599.

51. Gustafson DH, Gustafson DH, Cody OJ, Chih MY, Johnston DC, Asthana S. Pilot Test of a Computer-Based System to Help Family Caregivers of Dementia Patients. Journal of Alzheimer's disease : JAD. 2019;70(2):541-52. doi: 10.3233/JAD-190052.

52. Banbury A, Parkinson L, Gordon S, Wood D. Implementing a peer-support programme by group videoconferencing for isolated carers of people with dementia. Journal of telemedicine and telecare. 2019;25(9):572-7. doi: 10.1177/1357633X19873793.

53. Williams KN, Perkhounkova Y, Shaw CA, Hein M, Vidoni ED, Coleman CK. Supporting family caregivers with technology for dementia home care: A randomized controlled trial. Innovation in Aging. 2019;3(3):igz037. doi: 10.1093/geroni/igz037.

54. Thomas NW, Lindauer A, Kaye J. EVALUATE-AD and Tele-STAR: novel methodologies for assessment of caregiver burden in a telehealth caregiver intervention–a case study. Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders. 2019;47(3):176-84. doi: 10.1159/000497805.

55. Mavandadi S, Wray LO, Toseland RW. Measuring Self-Appraised Changes following Participation in an Intervention for Caregivers of Individuals with Dementia. Journal of gerontological social work. 2019;62(3):324-37. doi: 10.1080/01634372.2018.1556767.

56. Moo LR, Gately ME, Jafri Z, Shirk SD. Home-based video telemedicine for dementia management. Clinical gerontologist. 2020;43(2):193-203. doi: 10.1080/07317115.2019.1655510.

57. Laver K, Liu E, Clemson L, Davies O, Gray L, Gitlin LN, et al. Does telehealth delivery of a dyadic dementia care program provide a noninferior alternative to face-toface delivery of the same program? A randomized, controlled trial. The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry. 2020;28(6):673-82. doi: 10.1016/j.jagp.2020.02.009.

58. Williams KN, Shaw CA, Perkhounkova Y, Hein M, Coleman CK. Satisfaction, utilization, and feasibility of a telehealth intervention for in-home dementia care support: A mixed methods study. Dementia. 2021;20(5):1565-85. doi: 10.1177/1471301220957905.

59. Howard R, Gathercole R, Bradley R, Harper E, Davis L, Pank L, et al. The effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of assistive technology and telecare for independent living in dementia: a randomised controlled trial. Age and ageing. 2021;50(3):882-90. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afaa284.

60. Di Lorito C, Duff C, Rogers C, Tuxworth J, Bell J, Fothergill R, et al. Tele-Rehabilitation for People with Dementia during the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Case-Study from England. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2021;18(4):1717. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18041717.