Review Article

MicroRNA-21: Mechanisms of Oncogenesis and its Application in Diagnosis and Prognosis of Gastric Cancer

Zana Karimi Kurdistani^{1,2}, Samaneh Saberi¹, Kuo-Wang Tsai³, Marjan Mohammadi¹

Abstract

Gastric cancer is a silent killer, claiming more than seven hundred thousand lives every year. This heavy burden creates an irrefutable need for accurate, noninvasive methods of population screening and early detection as well as disease monitoring and management. Gastric cancer is a multi-factorial disease with an uneven geographic distribution, mostly affecting the developing countries and Southeast Asia. The multi-dimensional roles of microRNAs in gene regulation and tumorigenesis have prompted investigators to explore their potentials in diagnosis and treatment of various cancers, including gastric cancer. In this respect, miR-21 has attracted much attention as well as generating some controversies. Here, we aim to describe, in a chronological order, the numerous studies which have explored 1) the interactions of this oncomir with *Helicobacter pylori* infection, as a class I gastric carcinogen, 2) its potential mechanisms of oncogenicity, by various induction/inhibition assays, and 3) its application as a diagnostic/prognostic invasive (tissue) and non-invasive (circulating) biomarker.

Keywords: Helicobacter pylori, tumor differentiation, tumor stage, gene regulation, proliferation

Cite this article as: Karimi Kurdistani Z, Saberi S, Tsai KW, Mohammadi M. MicroRNA-21: Mechanisms of Oncogenesis and its Application in Diagnosis and Prognosis of Gastric Cancer. 2015. Arch Iran Med. 2015; 18(8): 524 – 536.

Gastric cancer

Gastric cancer (GC) is the fifth most common malignancy and the third leading cause of cancer death worldwide. The Globocan 2012 report¹ has documented 952,000 stomach cancer incident cases around the globe, which claims more than 700,000 lives every year. The regional variations in gastric cancer incidence are due to differences in host susceptibility factors, prevalence of Helicobacter pylori (Hp) infection and its antigenic composition,²⁻⁵ as well as environmental inputs, in particular, dietary patterns and smoking habits.⁶⁻⁸ GC incidence and mortality rates have been declining during the past decades. However, the disease is typically diagnosed at late stages and remains a major clinical challenge in view of timely detection and monitoring. Hp eradication strategies are rapidly becoming the focus of attention in an attempt for global reduction of gastric cancer risk.9,10 Nevertheless, there is an urgent need for screening and early detection strategies, making use of appropriate biomarkers, in order to increase survival rates.

MicroRNAs

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are members of small non-coding RNAs which play a crucial role in post transcriptional gene regulation of virtually 30%–60% of all human genes.¹¹ They are believed to act through degradation of coding RNA and/or inhibition of transla-

Accepted for publication: 22 June 2015

tion initiation.^{12,13} As a single miRNA can target hundreds of different genes, its dysregulation may lead to cancerous transformation of an otherwise normal cell.¹⁴ It has been demonstrated that miRNAs are involved in many biological processes such as proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis.¹⁵ Alterations in miRNA expression are nominated as potential biomarkers for disease diagnosis and prognosis, particularly in various cancers.^{16–22} There is a growing number of studies which have evaluated the role of various miRNA as potential tissue-based as well as circulating biomarkers in gastric cancer.^{17,23–27} Among these well studied miRNAs, overexpression of miR-21 has been frequently reported in gastric cancer, as well as a multitude of other cancers.^{28–36} Increasing evidence suggests that miR-21 is to be considered as an irrefutable hallmark of gastric cancer. This paper reviews the current literature on the carcinogenic role of miR-21 and its potential applications in GC diagnosis and prognosis.

MiR-21

The mature form of miR-21, also known as hsa-miR-21 or miR-NA21, is a conserved mammalian miRNAs, which is encoded by the MIR21 gene.37,38 This relatively well characterized miR-NA is transcribed from the plus strand of chromosome 17q23.2 (55273409-55273480), where it overlaps with the protein-coding gene TMEM49 (also called vacuole membrane protein).39,40 The transcription of MIR21 is activated by AP-1 (activation protein-1) in conjugation with the SWI/SNF (switch/sucrose non-fermentable) complex through the conserved AP-1 and PU.1 (transcription factor) binding sites in its promoter region.³⁹ An estimated 3433-nt long primary transcript of miR-21 (pri-miR-21) is transcribed in an intron region of a coding gene TMEM49, just downstream from the TATA box of the promoter. This implies that each miRNA could have their own promoter even if overlapping with other genes.³⁹ Up-regulation of miR-21 in various human cancers, its potential function in targeting a variety of important tumor suppressor genes and association with the progression of cancer offer

Authors' affiliations: ¹HPGC Group, Medical Biotechnology Department, Biotechnology Research Center, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran, Iran. ²Department of Molecular Genetics, Fars Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shiraz, Iran. ³Department of Medical Education and Research, Kaohsiung Veterans General Hospital, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, Republic of China.

[•]Corresponding author and reprints: Marjan Mohammadi, HPGC Group, Medical Biotechnology Department, Biotechnology Research Center, Pasteur Institute of Iran, No. 69, Pasteur Blvd., Tehran, Iran, 13164. Telefax: +9821-66480780, E-mail: marjan.mohammadi2010@gmail.com, marjan.mohammadi@pasteur.ac.ir

convincing evidence for the use of miR-21 as a diagnostic and prognostic biomarker. $^{\rm 38}$

MiR-21 and Helicobacter pylori infection

As concretely established, Hp infection is considered a class I gastric carcinogen.41 Accordingly in 2008, Zhang, et al.42 used Taq-Man quantitative real-time PCR to demonstrate overexpression of miR-21 in Hp-infected gastric tissue. This encouraged Shiotani, et al. 43 to evaluate their findings by investigating the consequences of Hp eradication on miR-21 expression, in addition to other miRNA, in subjects with and without gastric cancer. They found that Hp eradication caused a significant down-regulation of miR-21, exclusively in cancer-free subjects. Shiotani and colleagues⁴⁴ continued, a year later, by investigating serum levels of miRNAs as well as pepsinogen in patients with early gastric cancer, before and after Hp eradication. Their findings confirmed that the relative levels of miR-21 remain elevated in GC patients, regardless of Hp eradication. The stability of serum miR-21 following Hp eradication and its superiority to serum pepsinogen in detection of GC, nominates it as a preferable marker for GC screening. The impact of Hp infection in miR-21 regulation, however, suffers a controversy, as Li and colleagues45 found no significant differences in its plasma levels in both gastric cancer patients as well as normal controls, with or without Hp infection. Nevertheless, the interaction between Hp infection and miRNA dysregulation remains an understudied area, which calls for further exploration.46,47

MiR-21 and gastric cancer

MiR-21 and its targets genes in gastric cancer cell lines

The expression of miR-21 has been studied, as well as manipulated, in various gastric cancer (AGS, SGC7901, MKN1, MKN7, MKN28, MKN45, MKN74, NUGC3, NUGC4, AZ521, KA-TOIII, NCI-N87, BGC-823, HTB-103, CRL-5974, CRL-5971) and non-cancer (GES-1) cell lines (Table-1). As a result, a number of target genes (RECK, PDCD4, PTEN, Serpini1, FASLG, PTG2) have been identified. Furthermore, the role of miR-21 in mediating resistance to certain chemotherapeutic agents (i.e., trastuzumab, cisplatin) has been investigated (Table 1).

The up-regulation of miR-21 in gastric cancer (AGS, SGC7901, MKN28, MKN45) vs. non-cancer (GES-1) cell lines was primarily discovered by Zhang, *et al.*⁴² These investigators demonstrated alterations in cellular behaviors following forced expression of miR-21 in AGS cell line. These alterations included enhanced cell proliferation, invasion and migration. Conversely, miR-21 gene-knockdown abrogated these behaviors and augmented apoptosis. This sequence of events was shown to be mediated by RECK (reversion-inducing-cysteine-rich protein with kazal motifs), a known tumor suppressor gene and a bona fide target of miR-21.

Another study by Motoyama, *et al.*⁴⁸ investigated the association between the expression levels of miR-21 and PDCD4 (programmed cell death protein 4) mRNA, a tumor suppressor gene, in eight (MKN1, MKN7, MKN45, MKN74, NUGC3, NUGC4, AZ521 and KATOIII) human gastric carcinoma cell lines. This study found an inverse correlation between the expression of PDCD4 mRNA and miR-21 in these cell lines. PDCD4 was identified as another putative target gene for the oncogenic effect of miR-21. Two years later, Cao, *et al.*⁴⁹ confirmed the inverse correlation between miR-21 and PDCD4 protein expression, following the treatment of AGS cells with increasing doses of resveratrol (an inhibitor of miR-21 expression). However, this phenomenon was not observed at the mRNA level.

Zhang and colleagues⁵⁰ examined the stimulatory and inhibitory effects of pre-miR-21 and miR-21 inhibitor in a gastric cancer cell line (BGC-823), respectively. Application of the scratch-healing assay revealed that pre-miR-21-transfected cells healed more rapidly as compared to controls, whereas the down-regulation of miR-21 led to the inhibition of cell migration in a transwell migration assay. The results of western blotting and luciferase reporter assays demonstrated that expression of PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homolog), a tumor suppressor gene, increased remarkably after miR-21 inhibition. These results further supported the involvement of miR-21 in suppressing PTEN in the initiation and development of gastric cancer. In 2013, Yang and colleagues⁵¹ explored the role of miR-21 in gastric cancer chemo-resistance. Their study demonstrated that the expression of miR-21 was upregulated in the cisplatin-resistant (SGC7901/DDP) compared to its parental (SGC7901) cell line. Over-expression of miR-21 led to a decline in the rate of apoptosis and also the anti-proliferative effects of cisplatin. On the other hand, knockdown of miR-21 enhanced its effects. Additionally, they demonstrated that miR-21 performs its action through down-regulating the expression of PTEN and activation of Akt (protein kinase B) pathway. In 2014, Eto and colleagues⁵² provided further evidence that transfection of miR-21-mimic into NCI-N87, a HER2-positive cell line with low expression of miR-21, resulted in down-regulation of PTEN and increased phosphorylation of Akt (proto-oncogene), which in turn caused a significant suppression of trastuzumab-induced apoptosis. The opposite pattern was observed in NUGC4, a HER2-positive cell line with high expression of miR-21, which was transfected with miR-21 inhibitor. Further support was also provided by Li, et al.,53 who confirmed the pivotal role of miR-21 in modulating the expression of these tumor suppressor genes (PTEN and PDCD4) in gastric cancer cell lines. They demonstrated that overexpression of miR-21 by the transfection of miR-21-mimic into two different gastric adenocarcinoma (SGC7901, MKN45) cell lines leads to enhancement of cell invasion and migration. In contrast, miR-21 inhibitor significantly reduces cell proliferation, migration and invasion. Recently, Sha and colleagues54 evaluated the anticancer effect of celastrol, a plant triterpene, in three gastric cancer cells. They showed that the expression of miR-21 is significantly suppressed in gastric cancer cells treated with celastrol, in a dose-dependent manner, which resulted in diminished phospho-Akt expression and NF-kB activity.

In 2012, Yamanaka and colleagues⁵⁵ identified a binding site for miR-21 on the 3'-UTR of Serpini 1 (serpin peptidase inhibitor), a gene with novel tumor suppressive effects in gastric cancer. They showed an inverse correlation between the expression of miR-21 and Serpini 1. Accordingly, the down-regulation of miR-21 in a gastric cancer (MKN28) cell line caused a significant up-regulation of Serpini 1, which in turn led to vigorous G1/S arrest, with the ultimate suppression of tumor growth.

The tumorigenic role of miR-21 was also explored by the treatment of gastric cancer cell line with carcinogenic agents such as Nicotin,⁵⁶ which proved to upregulate miR-21 expression in a time- and dose-dependent manner. For this purpose, Shin, *et al.*⁵⁶ examined the expression profile of miRNA using a microarray platform covering a panel of 95 human miRNAs in a nicotinetreated gastric cancer (AGS) cell line. They demonstrated that miR-21 was upregulated upon nicotine stimulation via binding of

MiR-21 Function	Anti: Apoptosis Pro: Proliferation, invasion and migration	1	Pro: Proliferation		Pro: Cell growth, invasion and migration		Pro: Cell growth	Anti: Apoptosis Pro: Proliferation	Anti: Apoptosis	Anti: Apoptosis Pro: Cell growth, invasion and migration	Pro: Malignant transformation and tumorigenesis	
Method	TaqMan RT-PCR	TaqMan RT-PCR	miRNA microarray	SYBR Green RT-PCR	TaqMan RT-PCR	SYBR Green RT-PCR	TaqMan RT-PCR	SYBR Green RT-PCR	TaqMan RT-PCR	TaqMan RT-PCR	TaqMan RT-PCR	
MiR-21 Target genc(s)	ĻRECK	ţPDCD4	ND*	↑PDCD4 protein PDCD ₄ mRNA(unchanged)	↓PTEN	ND*	↓Serpini1	↓PTEN ↑Akt pathway	↓PTEN ↑Akt pathway	LPTEN, JPDCD4	— JFASLG, JBTG2	Akt nathwav
Expression (Fold change)	←	←	←	\rightarrow	← ←	† (30.7)	←	←	←	4	← ←	
Cell Line (s)	AGS, SGC-7901, MKN-45, MKN-28 vs. GES-1	MKN-1, MKN-7, MKN-45, MKN-74, NUGC-3, NUGC-4, AZ-521, KATOIII	AGS (nicotine treated vs. untreated)	AGS (dose-dependent resveratrol treatment)	SGC7-901, MKN-28, MKN-45, AGS, CRL, BGC-823, HTB-103, CRL-5974, CRL-5971 vs. GES-1 BGC-823 (pre-miR-21/miR-21 inhibitor)	MKN-45 (CD44 ⁺ vs. CD44 ⁻)	MKN28	SGC7901 (cisplatin-resistant vs.sensitive)	HER2-positive GC cell lines [NUGC4 (miR-21 ₁₁) vs.NCI-N87 (miR-21 ₁₀)]	SGC7901, MKN45	AGS, SGC-7901, MKN-28, HGC-27, BGC-823 vs. GES-1 GES-1 (MNNG treated vs. untreated)	Gastric cancer cells
Ref	54	84	56	69	ନ	28	55	51	22	28	57	101
Authors	Zhang, <i>et al.</i>	Motoyama, <i>et al.</i>	Shin, <i>et al</i> .	Cao, <i>et al.</i>	Zhang, et al.	Golestaneh, et al.	Yamanaka, et al.	Yang, et al.	Eto, <i>et al</i> .	Li, et al.	Yang, et al.	
Year	2008	2010	2011	2012	2012	2012	2012	2013	2014	2014	2014	1010

Table 1. Expression of MiR-21 and its target genes in gastric cancer cell lines.

*ND= Not Determined

NF-kB to mir-21 promoter. Furthermore, their functional study exhibited that ectopic expression of miR-21 in this gastric cancer cell line contributed to the enhanced cell proliferation, while the transfection of anti-miR-21 significantly abrogated this phenomenon. On the other hand, Yang, et al.57 investigated the regulatory role of miR-21 in gastric tumorigenesis following exposure of a non-cancer (GES-1) cell line to MNNG (N-nitroso carcinogen N-methyl-N-nitro-N'-nitrosoguanidine) and detected an elevated dose- and time-dependent gene expression. The MNNG-induced overexpression of miR-21 enhanced the transformation and cell growth of GES-1 cells, through down-regulation of both FASLG (Fas ligand) and BTG2 (B cell translocation gene 2). Their study shed light on the involvement of miR-21 in the process of chemical carcinogenesis. These investigators also confirmed the overexpression of miR-21 in several gastric cancer (AGS, SGC-7901, MKN-28, HGC-27, and BGC-823) relative to non-cancer (GES-1) cell line(s).

In a different study, Golestaneh, *et al.*⁵⁸ explored the differential expression of selected miRNAs in CD44⁺ (CSC, cancer stem cells) vs. CD44⁺ gastric cancer (MKN45) cells. Their analysis demonstrated a drastic (30.7 fold) enhancement of miR-21 expression in the former vs. latter cells. Based on the fact that cancer stem cells are thought to be responsible for tumor metastasis and relapse as well as the previously mentioned findings, the authors have further nominated this miRNA as a potential candidate for cancer therapy.

On the other hand, Xu, et al.59 were able to exhibit the suppress-

ing effects of single-(AMOs) and multi-(MTg-AMOs), anti-microRNA antisense oligonucleotides, on proliferation and migration of human gastric cancer (SGC7901) cell line. In this study, suppression of miR-21 significantly decreased the proliferative and migration activity of cells as manifested by cell proliferation and transwell migration assay.

The various roles of miR-21 in cellular events, its putative target genes as well as interaction of carcinogenic vs. chemotherapeutic agents are summarized in Figure 1.

MiR-21 as a diagnostic biomarker for gastric cancer

i. Tissue MiR-21

Several investigators have explored the differential expression of miR-21 in gastric tumors in comparison to its adjacent normal tissue or that obtained from cancer-free subjects (Table 2A). Primarily, using a large-scale miRnome analysis, Volinia, *et al.* studied miRNA profiles in several human cancers, including gastric, lung, breast, prostate, colon, and pancreatic cancer.⁶⁰ They identified miR-21 as an overexpressed miRNA in solid tumors relative to their adjacent normal tissue, thereby nominating it as a cancer pathogenesis marker deregulating the natural tumor suppressor vs. oncogenic balance. Thereafter, high-throughput screening of miRNA expression in gastric tumor tissue versus its neighboring normal tissue has been thoroughly performed by a number of investigators. These investigations have unanimously confirmed the overexpression of miR-21, amongst other miRNA,



Figure 1. MiR-21 targets and its effector functions. Abbreviations: PDCD4 (programmed cell death protein 4); PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homolog); RECK (reversion-inducing cysteine-rich protein with Kazal motifs); Serpini1 (serpin peptidase inhibitor); FASLG (Fas ligand); BTG2 (B cell translocation gene 2); Akt (protein kinase B); NF-kB (nuclear factor kappa B); MNNG (N-methyl-N'-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine).

Table 2. MiR-21 as a diagnostic biomarker for gastric cancer.

Authors Ref Sample Size TNN Stage Method Voltini, et al. α Fresh Tissue $1unor = 20$ $-$ MiRNA microarreg Petroca, et al. α Fresh Tissue $1unor = 20$ $-$ MiRNA microarreg Petroca, et al. α Fresh Tissue $1unor = 20$ $-$ MiRNA microarreg Chu, et al. α Fresh Tissue $1unor = 20$ $-$ MiRNA microarreg Chu, et al. α Fresh Tissue $1unor = 20$ $-$ MiRNA microarreg Ucda, et al. α Fresh Tissue $1unor = 20$ $-$ MiRNA microarreg Using et al. α Fresh Tissue $1unor = 20$ $ -$ Using et al. α Fresh Tissue $1unor = 20$ $ -$ Using et al. α $1unor = 20$ $ -$ Using et al. α $1unor = 20$ $ -$ Using et al. α $1unor = 20$ $-$	Sam	ole Size TN	VM Stage	Method	Reference gene		Exnression
n. et al. is the fresh Tissue Tunor = 20 MRNA microarray a. d. d. 0 Fresh Tissue Tunor = 20 MRNA microarray et al. 0 Fresh Tissue Tunor = 20 MRNA microarray et al. 0 Fresh Tissue Tunor = 21 Late (III) = 3 MRNA microarray et al. 0 Fresh Tissue Adjacent = 160 MRNA microarray MRNA microarray et al. 0 Fresh Tissue Tunor = 24 MRNA microarray MRNA microarray 10, et al. 0 Fresh Tissue Tunor = 22 - MRNA microarray 10, et al. 0 Fresh Tissue Tunor = 22 - MRNA microarray 10, et al. 0 Fresh Tissue Tunor = 22 - mRNA microarray 10, et al. 0 Fresh Tissue Tunor = 20 MRNA microarray 10, et al. 1 Early (-11) = 15 MRNA microarray 10, et al. 1 Tunor = 22 Late (11) + 23 MRNA microarray					Array/qRT-PCR	AUC (f	fold change)
a, a, a, a, a, b Fredi Tisue Timor = 20 MRNA microarring induction is a point R1-NCR induction induction induction is a point R1-NCR induction induction is a point R1-NCR induction induction induction is a point R1-NCR induction inductin induction induction induction inductin induction induct	Tum Adjac	r = 20 set = 21		MiRNA microarray	Global Median		←
	Tum Adjac	r = 20 ent = 20		MiRNA microarray TaqMan RT-PCR	Global Median U6		î(4.5)
v, et al. v, et al. Timor = 3 Late (III) = 3 MRNA microarray and control and contr	Tum Adjac	r = 37 Early Early ent = 37 Late (/ (I-II) = 13 (III-IV) = 24	TaqMan RT-PCR	DI6		~
Induction Induce Induce <thinduce< th=""> <thinduce< th=""> Induc</thinduce<></thinduce<>	Tun Adja	or = 3 Lat ent = 3	e (III) = 3	MiRNA microarray	Global Median		~
Actor. Tunor = 24 Tunor = 24 Tianor = 24 Tianor = 24 Molo. et al. 6 Fresh Tisue Tunor = 2 MiRNA microarray Molo. et al. 6 Fresh Tisue Tunor = 2 MiRNA microarray Molo. et al. 6 Fresh Tisue Tunor = 2 MiRNA microarray Mist = al. 6 Fresh Tisue Adjacent = 52 Ind Min CIPCR Stat. 6 Fresh Tisue Adjacent = 20 Adjacent = 20 Stat. 6 Fresh Tisue Tunor = 20, Adjacent = 20 MiRNA microarray Stat. 7 Fresh Tisue Validation (Tunor = 20, Adjacent = 20) Early (1-11) = 13 MiRNA microarray et al. 7 Fresh Tissue Tunor = 20, Adjacent = 20 Lae (III-IV) = 14 Taqman T-PCR et al. 7 Fresh Tissue Tunor = 20, Adjacent = 20 Adjacent = 20 MiRNA microarray et al. 7 Fresh Tissue Tunor = 20, Adjacent = 20 Lae (III-IV) = 16 MiRNA microarray et al. 7 Fresh Tissue Tunor = 20, Adjacent = 20 Lae (III-IV) = 16 MiRNA microarray et al.	Tumo Adjace	r = 160 nt = 160		MiRNA microarray/	Global Median		<u></u>
Oto, et al. 66 Fresh Tissue Tumor = 22 - MiRNA microarrand microa	Tum Adjac	r = 24 ent = 24		TaqMan RT-PCR	RNU49		<i>←</i>
ma, et al. *et al. *et al. *et al. Tumor = 52 — TiaqMan KT-PCR 3_{cl} et al. (c) Fresh Tissue Tumor = 48 Early (1-1) = 13 MiRNA microarray isia, et al. (c) Fresh Tissue Test (Tumor = 6, Adjacent = 6) _ Anitonarray isia, et al. (c) Fresh Tissue Test (Tumor = 2, Adjacent = 20) Early (1-1) = 13 MiRNA microarray isia, et al. (c) Fresh Tissue Test (Tumor = 2, Adjacent = 20) Early (1-1) = 6 MiRNA microarray , et al. (c) Fresh Tissue Tumor = 20 Early (1-1) = 6 MiRNA microarray , et al. (c) Fresh Tissue Tumor = 30 (- TaqMan RT-PCR , et al. (c) Fresh Tissue Tumor = 30 (- TaqMan RT-PCR , et al. (c) Fresh Tissue Tumor = 30 (- TaqMan RT-PCR , et al. (c) Fresh Tissue Tumor = 55 Late (11-1V) = 55 TaqMan RT-PCR , et al. (c) Fresh Tissue Tumor = 57 Late (11-1V) = 55 TaqMan RT-PCR , et al. <td< td=""><td>Tum Adja</td><td>r = 22 sent = 5</td><td>I</td><td>MiRNA microarray</td><td>Global Median</td><td>I</td><td>↑(4.05)</td></td<>	Tum Adja	r = 22 sent = 5	I	MiRNA microarray	Global Median	I	↑(4.05)
g. et al. 66 Fresh Tissue Timor = 48 Early (1-II) = 13 MiRNA microarray hista, et al. e^{a} Fresh Tissue Test (Tumor = 6, Adjacent = 6) MiRNA microarray h, et al. e^{a} Fresh Tissue Tadidation (Tumor = 20, Adjacent = 20) Early (1-II) = 6 MiRNA microarray h, et al. 7^{a} Fresh Tissue Tumor = 40 - Angacent = 20 h, et al. 7^{a} Fresh Tissue Tumor = 40 - MiRNA microarray h, et al. 7^{a} Fresh Tissue Tumor = 30 - 1aqMan RT-PCR et al. 9^{o} Fresh Tissue Tumor = 30 - - 1aqMan RT-PCR et al. 9^{o} Fresh Tissue Tumor = 30 - - 1aqMan RT-PCR et al. 7^{o} Fresh Tissue Tumor = 30 - - 1aqMan RT-PCR g. et al. 7^{o} Fresh Tissue Tumor = 55 Late (III-N) = 5 TaqMan RT-PCR w et al. 7^{o} Fresh Tissue Tumor = 37 Late (III-N) = 51 TaqMan RT-PCR w et al. 7^{o} <	Tum Adjac	r = 52 ent = 52		TaqMan RT-PCR	U6		~
Itst data Test (Tumor = 6, Adjacent = 6) MiRNA microarray inista, et al. ct $FeBT Tissue$ $Tumor = 20$, Adjacent = 20 $SYBR green RT-PC$ i, et al. ct $FFPE Tissue$ $Tumor = 20$, $Late (III-IV) = I4$ $TaqMan RT-PCR$ i, et al. ct ct $Tumor = 20$ $Early (1-D) = 6$ $SYBR green RT-PCR$ i, et al. ct $FFPE Tissue$ $Tumor = 20$ $Early (1-D) = 6$ $MiRNA$ microarray i, et al. ot $FFPE Tissue Tumor = 30 TaqMan RT-PCR i, et al. ^{ot} FFPE Tissue Tumor = 30 TaqMan RT-PCR i, et al. ^{ot} FFPE Tissue Tumor = 30 TaqMan RT-PCR i, et al. ^{ot} FFPE Tissue Tumor = 55 Late (III-IV) = 6 MiRNA microarray i, et al. ^{ot} ^{ot} Tumor = 55 Late (III-IV) = 6 MiRNA microarray we et al. ^{ot} ^{ot} ^{ot} Tumor = 37 Late (III-IV) = 6 $	Tum Adjac	br = 48 Early	/ (I-II) = 13 (III-IV) = 35	MiRNA microarray	Global Median	I	î(1.61)
Insul, et al. Trent Itsue Validation (Tumor = 20, Adjacent = 20) SYBR green RT-PCR $u, et al.$ 74 FFPE Tissue Adjacent = 21 Late (III-IV) = 14 TaqMan RT-PCR $h, et al.$ 71 Fresh Tissue Adjacent = 20 $-$ MiRNA microarray $h, et al.$ 71 Fresh Tissue Tumor = 40 $-$ TaqMan RT-PCR $h, et al.$ 70 FFPE Tissue Tumor = 50 $-$ TaqMan RT-PCR $h, et al.$ 70 FFPE Tissue Tumor = 50 $-$ TaqMan RT-PCR $h, et al.$ 70 FFPE Tissue Tumor = 50 $-$ TaqMan RT-PCR $h, et al.$ 75 Late (III-IV) = 6 MiRNA microarray TaqMan RT-PCR $h, et al.$ 75 Late (III-IV) = 53 TaqMan RT-PCR $h, et al.$ 75 Late (III-IV) = 53 TaqMan RT-PCR $h, et al.$ 75 Late (III-IV) = 53 TaqMan RT-PCR $h, et al.$ 75 Late (III-IV) = 53 TaqMan RT-PCR $h, et al.$ 75 Late (III-IV) = 51 TaqMan RT-PCR $h, et al.$ 76 <t< td=""><td>Test (Tumor =</td><td>6, Adjacent = 6)</td><td></td><td>MiRNA microarray</td><td>Global Median</td><td></td><td>↑(4.62)</td></t<>	Test (Tumor =	6, Adjacent = 6)		MiRNA microarray	Global Median		↑(4.62)
u, et al.74FFPE TissueTumor = 20Early (1-11) = 6TaqMan RT-PCRh, et al.71Fresh TissueTumor = 40 $-$ MiRNA microarrah, et al.71Fresh TissueTumor = 30 $-$ MiRNA microarrai, et al.60FFPE TissueTumor = 30 $-$ TaqMan RT-PCRi, et al.70Fresh TissueTumor = 5Late (111-W) = 6MiRNA microarrai, et al.70Fresh TissueTumor = 55Late (111-W) = 5TaqMan RT-PCRng. et al.75Fresh TissueNormal = 5Late (111-W) = 55TaqMan RT-PCRng. et al.68Fresh TissueNormal = 30 $-$ MiRNA microarrang. et al.75Fresh TissueNormal = 34 $-$ MiRNA microarrang. et al.77Fresh TissueTumor = 37Late (111-W) = 51TaqMan RT-PCRwa et al.70FFPE TissueTumor = 37Late (111-W) = 21TaqMan RT-PCRwa et al.70FFPE TissueTumor = 46 $-$ SYBR green RT-PCwa et al.70FFPE TissueTumor = 30Late (111-W) = 23TaqMan RT-PCRwa et al.80Fresh TissueTumor = 30Late (111-W) = 23TaqMan RT-PCRwa et al.80Fresh TissueTumor = 30Late (111-W) = 23TaqMan RT-PCRwa et al.80Fresh TissueTumor = 30Late (111-W) = 23TaqMan RT-PCRwa et al.80Fresh TissueTumor = 30Late (111-W) = 23<	Validation (Tumor	= 20, Adjacent = 20)		SYBR green RT-PCR	U6		←
h, et al. 11 Fresh TissueTumor = 40 Adjacent = 40—MiRNA microarrasi, et al. 00 FFPE TissueTumor = 30 Adjacent = 6—TaqMan RT-PCRi, et al. 00 Fresh TissueTumor = 6 Adjacent = 6Late (III-IV) = 6MiRNA microarrasng et al. 50 FFPE TissueTumor = 55 Normal = 5Late (III-IV) = 55TaqMan RT-PCRng et al. 50 Fresh TissueTumor = 90 Normal = 34—MiRNA microarrasnn et al. 68 Fresh TissueTumor = 37 Adjacent = 37Late (III-IV) = 55 Late (III-IV) = 55TaqMan RT-PCRnw et al. 70 FFPE TissueTumor = 90 Normal = 34—MiRNA microarrasuw et al. 70 FFPE TissueTumor = 40 Adjacent = 37—SyBR green RT-PCan et al. 90 FFPE TissueTumor = 46 Adjacent = 30—SyBR green RT-PCan et al. 90 FFPE TissueTumor = 30 Adjacent = 30 $^{-10}$ SyBR green RT-PCan et al. 90 FFPE TissueTumor = 46 Adjacent = 30 $^{-10}$ SyBR green RT-PCang et al. 90 Fresh TissueTumor = 30 Adjacent = 30 $^{-10}$ SyBR green RT-PCang et al. 90 Fresh Tissue $^{-10}$ $^{-10}$ $^{-10}$ SyBR green RT-PCang et al. 90 Fresh Tissue $^{-10}$ $^{-10}$ $^{-10}$ $^{-10}$ $^{-10}$ $^{-10}$ $^{-10}$ $^{$	Tum Adjac	br = 20 Earlent = 21 Late (y (I-II) = 6 (III-IV) = 14	TaqMan RT-PCR	U6		+
i, et al. 6^{0} FFPE TissueTumor = 30 $$ TaqMan RT-PCRi, et al. 7^{0} Fresh TissueAdjacent = 30 $$ TaqMan RT-PCRng, et al. 7^{0} Fresh Tissue $1^{umor} = 55$ Late (III-IV) = 55 TaqMan RT-PCRng, et al. 7^{0} FrPPE TissueNormal = 5 Late (III-IV) = 55 TaqMan RT-PCRnm et al. 6^{0} Fresh TissueNormal = 34 $$ MiRNA microarraytwa et al. 7^{0} Fresh TissueTumor = 90 $$ MiRNA microarraytwa et al. 7^{0} Fresh TissueTumor = 37 Late (III-IV) = 51 TaqMan RT-PCRtwa et al. 7^{0} Fresh TissueTumor = 37 Late (III-IV) = 21 TaqMan RT-PCRao et al. 4^{0} Fresh TissueTumor = 46 $$ SYBR green RT-PCang et al. 5^{0} Fresh TissueTumor = 30 Late (III-IV) = 23 TaqMan RT-PCRang et al. 5^{0} Fresh TissueTumor = 30 Late (III-IV) = 23 TaqMan RT-PCR	Tum Adjac	r = 40 ent = 40		MiRNA microarray	Global Median	I	←
i_{e} t al. 10 Fresh Tissue Tumor = 6 Adjacent = 6 Late (III-IV) = 6 MiRNA microarras u_{e} et al. 12 FFPE Tissue Tumor = 55 Late (III-IV) = 55 TaqMan RT-PCR u_{e} et al. 12 FFPE Tissue Tumor = 55 Late (III-IV) = 55 TaqMan RT-PCR u_{e} al. 6 Fresh Tissue Tumor = 90 $-$ MiRNA microarray u_{e} al. 6 Fresh Tissue Tumor = 37 Late (III-IV) = 51 TaqMan RT-PCR u_{e} al. 10 FFPE Tissue Tumor = 37 Late (III-IV) = 21 TaqMan RT-PCR u_{e} al. 40 FFPE Tissue Tumor = 46 $-$ SYBR green RT-PC u_{e} al. 90 Fresh Tissue Tumor = 30 Late (III-IV) = 21 TaqMan RT-PCR u_{e} al. 90 Fresh Tissue Tumor = 46 $-$ SYBR green RT-PC u_{e} al. 90 Fresh Tissue Tumor = 30 Late (III-IV) = 23 TaqMan RT-PCR u_{e} al. 90 Fresh Tissue Tumor = 30 Late (III-IV) = 23 TaqMan RT-PCR <tr< td=""><td>Tum Adjac</td><td>r = 30 ent = 30</td><td> </td><td>TaqMan RT-PCR</td><td>U6</td><td> </td><td>1(2.0)</td></tr<>	Tum Adjac	r = 30 ent = 30		TaqMan RT-PCR	U6		1(2.0)
ig. et al. 75 FFPE Tissue Tunor = 55 Late (III-IV) = 55 TaqMan RT-PCR m et al. 68 Fresh Tissue Tunor = 90 — MiRNA microarra wa et al. 77 FFPE Tissue Tunor = 37 Late (III-IV) = 16 MiRNA microarra wa et al. 77 FFPE Tissue Tunor = 37 Late (III-IV) = 21 TaqMan RT-PCR o et al. 49 FFPE Tissue Tunor = 46 — SYBR green RT-PC ng et al. 30 Fresh Tissue Tunor = 30 Late (III-IV) = 23 TaqMan RT-PCR ng et al. 30 Fresh Tissue Tunor = 30 Late (III-IV) = 23 TaqMan RT-PCR	Tun Adja	or = 6 Late	(III-IV) = 6	MiRNA microarray	Global Median		↑(10.44)
m et al. 68 Fresh Tissue Tumor = 90 - MiRNA microarra wa et al. 7 FFPE Tissue Tumor = 37 Early (1-II) = 16 MiRNA microarra wa et al. 7 FFPE Tissue Adjacent = 37 Late (II1-IV) = 21 TaqMan RT-PCR to et al. 49 FFPE Tissue Tumor = 46 - SYBR green RT-PCR to et al. 50 Fresh Tissue Tumor = 30 Late (II1-IV) = 23 TaqMan RT-PCR ng et al. 50 Fresh Tissue Adjacent = 30 Late (II1-IV) = 23 TaqMan RT-PCR	Tum Non		(111-IV) = 55	TaqMan RT-PCR	RNU44		~
www et al.77FFPE TissueTumor = 37Early (I-II) = 16MiRNA microarrayAdjacent = 37Late (III-IV) = 21TaqMan RT-PCRAt al. a_{0} FFPE TissueTumor = 46SYBR green RT-PCAdjacent = 46SYBR green RT-PCSYBR green RT-PCAdjacent = 30Fresh TissueTumor = 30Late (III-IV) = 23TaqMan RT-PCRAdjacent = 30Tumor = 30Late (III-IV) = 23TaqMan RT-PCRAdjacent = 50Tate (III-IV) = 23TaqMan RT-PCRAdjacent = 50Late (III-IV) = 23Real-Time PCR base	Tum Norn	br = 90 $al = 34$	I	MiRNA microarray	Global Median	I	↑(1.49)
ao et al.49FFPE TissueTumor = 46SYBR green RT-PCal.50Fresh TissueAdjacent = 46SYBR green RT-PCRang et al.50Fresh TissueAdjacent = 30Late (III-IV) = 23TaqMan RT-PCRTumor = 5Tumor = 5Real-Time PCR has	Tum Adjac	r = 37 Early Early ent = 37 Late (<i>y</i> (I-II) = 16 (III-IV) = 21	MiRNA microarray TaqMan RT-PCR	Global Median Human gastric reference RNA		†(2.73)
ang <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁰ Fresh Tissue Tumor = 30 Early (I-II) = 7 TaqMan RT-PCR Adjacent = 30 Late (III-IV) = 23 TaqMan RT-PCR Tumor = 5 Real-Time PCR has	Tum Adjac	or = 46 ent = 46		SYBR green RT-PCR	U6	I	<i>←</i>
Timore 5 Real.Timo DCR has	Tum Adjac		y (I-II) = 7 (III-IV) = 23	TaqMan RT-PCR	U6	I	←
ue <i>et al.</i> 72 Fresh Tissue $Adjacent = 5$. MiRNA array MiRNA array	Tun Adja	or $= 5$ cent $= 5$		Real-Time PCR based MiRNA array	Global Median	I	↑(4.11)
$a_{Ae} = \sigma_{I} \sigma_{I}$ $MiRNA microarray$ $MiRNA microarray$	Tumor=7	Normal = 3		MiRNA microarray	Global Median		←
Tumor = 39, Normal = 40 TaqMan RT-PCR	Tumor $= 35$, Normal = 40		TaqMan RT-PCR	U6		†(1.8)

←	Ļ	←		Expression in cancer (elevation/fold change)	←	←	↑(stage IV)	† (32.07)	† (3.63)	Not elevated	←	←	↑(2.0)	Not elevated	↑(5.56)	
	I	0.969		AUC	0.673	0.853	I				0.81	0.794			I	
U6	U6	miR-16		Reference gene Array/qRT-PCR	mirVana miRNA Reference Panel	U6	miR-16, miR-93	(U6-RNU44-RNU48)	Spiked-in cel-miR-39	Spiked-in cel-miR-39	miR-16	Spiked-in cel-miR-39	Spiked-in cel-miR-39	Spiked-in cel-miR-39	miR-16	
SYBR green RT-PCR	SYBR green RT-PCR	SYBR green RT-PCR		Method	TaqMan RT-PCR	SYBR green RT-PCR	SYBR green RT-PCR	MiRNA microarray	TaqMan RT-PCR	TaqMan RT-PCR	SYBR green RT-PCR	TaqMan RT-PCR	SYBR green RT-PCR	SYBR green RT-PCR	TaqMan RT-PCR	
Early (I-II) = 32 Late (III-IV) = 59		Early (I-II) = 7 Late (III-IV) = 35		TNM Stage	Early (I-II) = 51 Late (III-IV) = 18	Early (I-II) = 16 Late (III-IV) = 37	Early (I-II) = 20 Late (III-IV) = 20		I		Early $(I-II) = 11$ Late $(III-IV) = 19$	Early $(I-II) = 23$ Late $(III-IV) = 47$	Early (I-II) = 35	Late $(III-IV) = 56$	Early $(I-II) = 15$ Late $(III-IV) = 15$	
Tumor = 91 Normal = 26	Tumor = 32 Adjacent = 32	Vormal mucosa or Minimal gastritis = 47 Atrophic gastritis = 18 Gastric ulcer = 34 Gastric cancer = 42		Sample Size	GC = 69 Healthy = 30	GC=53 Healthy = 20	GC = 40 Healthy = 20	GC = 14 Controls = 14 CAG*/SG	GC = 14 Controls = 14 CAG*/SG**	GC = 68 Controls = 68 CAG*/SG**	GC = 30 Healthy = 39	GC = 70 Healthy = 70	GC = 30 Healthy = 30	GC = 60 Healthy = 60	GC = 30 NUD = 25	
FFPE Tissue	Fresh Tissue	Rastric juice		Sample	Plasma	Circulating Tumor Cells	Serum		Serum	I	Serum	Plasma		r lasma	Serum	ficial Gastritis
28	76	79		Ref	82	86	84		88		85	45	08	6	87	*SG=Super
Kim et al.	Wang <i>et al</i> .	Cui et al.	Circulating MiR-21	Authors	Tsujiura <i>et al.</i>	Zheng et al.	Song <i>et al</i> .		Song et al.		Wang and Zhang	Li <i>et al</i> .		Cal et al.	Karimi Kurdistani et al.	hronic Active Gastritis, *
2013	2013	2013	В.	Year	2010	2011	2012		2012		2012	2012	C10C	6102	2014	*CAG=C



Figure 2. The expression levels of miR-21-5p and miR-21-3p increase in human gastric cancer tissues. We obtained the miRNA expression nextgeneration sequence (NGS) short-read level-3 data of human gastric cancer (STAD) from TCGA data portal. In this figure, the miRNA expression profiles of gastric cancer tissues and corresponding adjacent normal gastric tissues are generated from 42 patients. The expression levels of the miR-21 were presented in transcripts per million (TPM) and analyzed using the Student *t* test (P < 0.05 was considered significant).

by gastric tumor tissue. However, the reported fold changes of its over-expression are quite variable and range from 1.49–10.44.^{61–72} To collate these results, we have analyzed the information available at the portal data of the cancer genome atlas³⁹ and found a statistically significant over-expression of miR-21-5p and -3p in gastric cancer tissue relative to the corresponding adjacent normal tissue (Figure 2).

Chan, *et al.*,⁷³ however, specifically concentrated on the expression level of miR-21 in gastric tumor tissue using quantitative PCR. They reported that miR-21 was up-regulated in gastric cancer samples compared to its corresponding normal tissues. Other researchers^{48–50,55,69,74–78} have, thereafter, successively confirmed the significant up-regulation of miR-21 in gastric cancer tissues *vs.* non-cancer tissues (Table 2A).

Detection of miR-21 in the gastric juice of gastric cancer patients versus controls introduces the potential for a less invasive manner to conduct gastric tissue assessment. Cui and colleagues⁷⁹ primarily confirmed previous findings of miR-21 overexpression in gastric cancer tissue compared to its neighboring normal tissue. They proceeded by detecting cell-free miRNA in the gastric juice samples obtained from endoscopy subjects, which varied from normal to gastritis to gastric ulcer and cancer patients. Consequently, miR-21 was detected at higher levels in the gastric juice of GC patients relative to the rest of the cancer free controls, nearing an excellent (AUC = .969) discrimination power. Furthermore, miR-21 was able to differentiate GC according to subtype and was found significantly elevated in intestinal type GC vs. diffuse type.

ii. Circulating MiR-21

MiR-21 detection in the gastric tissue, although attractive as a diagnostic marker, is undesirable due to its invasive nature. Accumulating previous studies showed that miRNAs stably exist in various body fluids and are suitable as diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers for gastric cancers.⁸⁰⁻⁸² Therefore, attempts in evaluating circulating biomarkers have also concurred this area and an increasing number of investigators are searching for the diagnostic power of miR-21 in blood-derived (serum and plasma) samples, in which gastric cancer patients are assessed in comparison to healthy or cancer-free dyspeptic subjects (Table 2B).

Accumulating evidence for the up-regulation of miR-21 in gas-

530 Archives of Iranian Medicine, Volume 18, Number 8, August 2015

tric tumors, prompted Tsujiura and colleagues⁸² to explore the likelihood of the circulating miRNAs behaving as a novel non-invasive biomarkers in diagnosing gastric cancer. They were able to demonstrate the moderate elevation of circulating miR-21 in GC patients vs. healthy controls (AUC = 0.673). Of remarkable value is the fact that miR-21 levels declined one month following gastric tumor resection. Post-operative decline of circulating miR-21 was supported by the results of Ma, *et al.*,⁸³ who employed qRT-PCR to compare the plasma levels of miR-21 between paired preoperative and post-operative patients with primary GC. They, too, showed that the levels of miR-21 expression in the post-operative plasma samples declined dramatically in all patients compared to their pre-operative state.

In reference to the technical and biological validation of miRNA analysis, Song and colleagues⁸⁴ aimed to identify a suitable reference gene for analyzing circulating miRNA in gastric cancer. The serum expression of miR-21 was evaluated using different normalization strategies such as normalization on the basis of serum volume and use of two reference genes (miR-16 and miR-93). Serum volume normalization, without assessing relative expression of miR-21 to a reference gene, exhibited no significant difference between GC patients and healthy controls. However, when miR-16 and/or miR-93 were used as reference genes, the relative expression of miR-21 was found up-regulated solely in the advanced (stage IV) GC patients as compared to healthy subjects. Their results particularly recommended the use of miR-16 and miR-93 as two appropriate reference genes for qPCR analysis in gastric cancer. In addition to providing further support for the elevation of circulating miR-21 in GC patients vs. healthy controls, Li, et al.45 were also able to exhibit such differentiation in early stages of GC.

Wang and Zhang⁸⁵ were able to demonstrate the up-regulation of circulating miR-21, not only in gastric cancer patients, but also in other solid (breast, esophageal, colorectal and lung) tumors, thereby recommending it as a fairly accurate solid tumor marker (AUC = 0.81). In addition, they were able to implement a costsaving measure using SYBR green real-time quantitative reverse transcription-PCR instead of Taqman RT-qPCR, which was first introduced by Zheng, *et al.*⁸⁶ The latter group of investigators was able to detect the over-expression of miR-21 by circulating tumor cells (CTCs) in the peripheral blood of GC patients compared to

healthy controls (AUC = 0.853).

Due to the high prevalence of GC in East Asian countries, all of these results were collected therefrom. However, our recent preliminary analysis of circulating miR-21 in GC subjects from Iran⁸⁷ has provided additional confirmatory evidence from a West Asian country. According to this study, circulating miR-21 exhibited a stepwise upregulation by factors of 3.90 and 5.94 in the early and late stages of gastric cancer, respectively. An added advantage of this study was the use of PML (premalignant lesion)-free endoscopy subjects as controls.

A note of caution, however, is directed toward the studied sample sizes, which should be taken into careful consideration before a firm conclusion is drawn. Two concrete examples are portrayed by Song, et al.⁸⁸ and Cai, et al.⁸⁹ who primarily detected circulating miR-21 overexpression in GC patients vs. age- and gendermatched healthy controls in their pilot studies, but failed to validate their results in larger sample sizes. Nevertheless, considering the number of miRNAs studied by these investigators, the authors have not elaborated on the potential reasons behind their observed discrepancies, in the case of circulating miR-21. However, the disconformity observed between their larger studies and previous reports could be partly owing to the differences in the source of samples, quantification methods and screening facilities.90 In order to address these controversies, further studies with larger sample populations from geographically diverse areas are clearly needed.

MiR-21 as a prognostic biomarker for gastric canser

i. Tissue MiR-21

The application of miR-21 in gastric cancer monitoring and prognosis has been evaluated by several groups in fresh or formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) gastric tissue, as well as serum/plasma, which has resulted in controversial data. The studied prognostic indices include: tumor size, grade of differentiation, Borrmann pTNM staging and survival rates (Table 3A). In 2010, Li and colleagues⁹¹ evaluated the association between a seven-miRNA signature, in which miR-21 was included. In this breakthrough investigation, the tested miRNA signature (miR-10b, miR-21, miR-223, miR-338, let-7a, miR-30a-5p, miR-126) categorized GC patients into low and high-risk groups, the latter manifesting shortened overall and relapse-free survival rates, regardless of tumor stage and histologic differentiation. The observed close association with clinical outcomes recommended this type of miRNA risk profiling for stratification of GC patients as candidates for adjuvant therapy.

A growing body of evidence has produced a controversial collection of information regarding the association of miR-21 and patients' survival rates. For instance, in regards to the overall survival rates, two independent groups of investigators^{77,92} demonstrated a significant reverse correlation with miR-21 expression levels, whereas two others did not.^{78,93} Jiang and colleagues⁷⁵ demonstrated a reverse association between miR-21 expression and overall survival rates, regardless of the choice of the chemotherapeutic regimen. In addition to survival analysis, Xu and colleagues⁹² explored the association of miR-21 expression with other clinicopathologic characteristics. They found that the expression level of miR-21 was significantly up-regulated in patients with lymph node metastasis and was associated with the tumor histologic type and pTNM stage of the tumor. On the other hand, Tchernitsa, *et al.*⁶² investigated the differential expression of miRNA using the multi-species miRNA microarray probe set (containing 857 mammalian probes) in primary gastric cancers (with and without lymph node metastases). The results of differential expression were then evaluated by RT-PCR on an independent validation set of patients with gastric cancer. Their findings identified miR-21 among five other miRNAs (miR-103, miR-145, miR-106b, miR-146a, and miR-148a) capable of discriminating node-positive from node-negative gastric cancer patients.

Other investigators have attempted to demonstrate significant correlations between elevated miR-21 expression and tumor size,^{48,76} depth,⁴⁸ degree of differentiation, local invasion and lymph node metastasis.^{50,76} However, the existing reports include controversial data, exemplified by Chan, *et al.*⁷³ who failed to find any association between overexpression of miR-21 and the clinicopathological features such as tumor size, tumor location, cell differentiation, gross appearance, Lauren's histological type, lymph node metastasis, lymphovascular invasion, peritoneal seeding, depth of cancer invasion or 5-year overall survival rate. Similarly, amongst the eleven selected miRNAs evaluated on FFPE tumor tissues, Kim and colleagues⁷⁸ found no association between miR-21 expression and lymph node metastasis, distant metastasis or serosa-penetration of tumors.

More recently, Hirata and colleagues⁹⁴ investigated the efficacy of miR-21, its target gene (PDCD4), as well as CD44v9 (CD44 variant 9) expression and the mucin phenotype in predicting tumor recurrence in patients with multiple early gastric cancer (EGCs) following endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD). In contrast to the remarkable ability of CD44v9 expression in predicting recurrence, the expression of miR-21 or PDCD4 was not informative. A different turn of events occurred when Uozaki and colleagues,95 in addition to evaluating miR-21 expression in tumoral and nontumoral tissue, investigated its expression in stromal tissue underlying the two mentioned areas. As a result, they found that unlike the tumoral miR-21 expression, its stromal expression was closely associated with clinic-pathological indications of tumor progression, including tumor stage, size and lymph node metastasis. These authors speculated that the circulating miR-21 may actually originate from the underlying stromal tissue rather than the tumor itself.

ii. Circulating MiR-21

Komatsu, *et al.*⁹⁶ investigated the effectiveness of plasma concentrations of miR-21, in addition to other candidate miRNAs (miR-17-5p, miR-106a, miR-106b), in prognostic assessment of gastric cancer. Consequently, poorer cause-specific survival rates plus increased vascular invasion of tumors were observed in patients with higher plasma levels of miR-21. In another study, Kim, *et al.*⁹⁷ analyzed the concentrations of a panel of serum miRNAs, including miR-21, in gastric cancer patients to predict lymph node (LN) metastasis. As a result, serum miR-21 was able to highly discriminate between GC patients with and without LN metastasis (AUC = 0.954). Of particular value was the fact that such segregation was possible during early pT (pT1a, pT1b) stages of GC. However, no significant independent correlation was observed between serum levels of miR-21 and pT stage, Lauren's classification, gender, or age.

Another piece of evidence supporting the value of serum miR-21 in predicting GC prognosis and surgery outcomes was provided

																						-
		AUC							0.790								AUC		0.954	ı		
		P value				NS	P = 0.0004	P = 0.024	P < 0.05		NS						P value	P=0.0133		NS		
		Survival rate	z			Z	Y	Y	¥		z	I	Ι	I			Survival rate	Y		N		
		Recurrence										z					Recurrence	Z		Z		
		Grade	z		z	z			×	¥			Y				Grade		ī	z	¥	
		рМ	z		z	z			z		z						рМ	Z		Z		
	sa	pN	7		7	7					7	I	2	2		ses	pN	Z	Y	Z	Y	
	c Indice	рТ	z		X	Z			۲ ۲	Y Y	z		Y Y	Y		ic Indic	рТ	Z	z	Υ		
	Prognostic	T size	z		X					z		I	Y	Y		Prognosti	T size			Y		
		Reference gene	U6	Global Median U6		5S rRNA U6	RNU44	Global Median	Let-7a	U6	U6		U6	RNU6B			Reference gene	mirVana miRNA Reference Panel	cel-miR-39	miR-16/miR-93	RNU6B	
		Method	TaqMan RT-PCR	MiRNA microarray SYBR green RT-PCR	TaqMan RT-PCR	SYBR green RT-PCR	TaqMan RT-PCR	MiRNA microarray TaqMan RT-PCR	TaqMan RT-PCR	TaqMan RT-PCR	SYBR green RT-PCR	TaqMan RT-PCR	SYBR green RT-PCR	ISH/SYBR green RT-PCR			Method	TaqMan RT-PCR	TaqMan RT-PCR	SYBR green RT-PCR	SYBR green RT-PCR	
		TNM Stage	Early (I-II) = 13 Late (III-IV) = 24	I	Early $(I-II) = 26$ Late $(III-IV) = 23$	Early (I-II) = 6 Late (III-IV) = 32	Late $(III-IV) = 55$	Early (I-II) = 16 Late (III-IV) = 21	Early (I-II) = 64 Late (III-IV) = 22	Early $(I-II) = 7$ Late $(III-IV) = 23$	Early $(I-II) = 32$ Late $(III-IV) = 59$	I		Early (I-II) = 202 Late (III-IV) = 267			TNM Stage	Early $(I-II) = 52$ Late $(III-IV) = 17$	Early $(I-II) = 48$ Late $(III-IV) = 31$	Early (I-II) = 31 Late (III-IV) = 72		
		Sample Size	Tumor $= 37$	Tumor = 26	Tumor = 49	Tumor = 38	Tumor $= 55$	Tumor $= 37$	Tumor = 86	Tumor $= 30$	Tumor = 91	Tumor $= 88$	Tumor $= 32$	Tumor = 469			Sample Size	GC = 69	GC = 79	GC = 103	GC = 42	
		Samples	Fresh Tissue	Fresh Tissue	Fresh Tissue	FFPE Tissue	FFPE Tissue	FFPE Tissue	Fresh Tissue	Fresh Tissue	FFPE Tissue	Fresh Tissue	Fresh Tissue	FFPE Tissue			Samples	Plasma	Serum	Serum	Plasma	
		Ref.	73	62	48	93	75	77	92	50	78	94	76	95			Ref	96	97	98	83	
Tissue MiR-21		Authors	Chan <i>et al</i> .	Tchernista et al.	Motoyama <i>et al</i> .	Valladares Ayerbes <i>et al.</i>	Jiang <i>et al</i> .	Osawa <i>et al</i> .	Xu et al.	Zhang <i>et al</i> .	Kim <i>et al</i> .	Hirata <i>et al.</i>	Wang et al.	Uozaki <i>et al</i> .	Circulating MiR-21		Authors	Komatsu <i>et al.</i>	Kim et al.	Song <i>et al</i> .	Ma <i>et al</i> .	gnificant
Α.		Year	2008	2010	2010	2011	2011	2011	2012	2012	2013	2013	2013	2014	B.		Year	2013	2013	2013	2013	NS=Not Si

Table 3. MiR-21 as a prognostic biomarker in gastric cancer.

plication				
Clinical ap	Diagnostic	Diagnostic	Diagnostic	Prognostic
OS HR	I	I		2.0
Median fold change (range)	I	I	4.05 (1.49–10.44)	I
Pooled specificity (%)	83.1 (69.4–91.5)	89 (82–94)		I
Pooled sensitivity (%)	66.5 (55.0–76.3)	78 (71–85)	I	I
AUC (95% CI)	0.8 (0.76–0.83)	16.0	I	I
Sample size	GC = 251 Controls = 184	GC=421 Controls = 317	GC = 404 Controls = 317	GC = 523 Stages = I-IV
Samples	Plasma/serum	Plasma/serum	Tissue	Tissue/Serum
No of studies	Ś	∞	10	∞
Ref.	8	100	101	33
Authors	Zeng, <i>et al</i> .	Zhu, <i>et al</i> .	Shrestha, et al.	Wang, et al.
Year	2013	2014	2014	2014

Table 4. Systematic reviews of miR-21 as a diagnostic and prognostic biomarker in gastric cancer.

by Ma and colleagues,⁸³ who further manifested the association of its serum level expression with tumor grade of differentiation and lymph node metastasis. On the contrary, Song and colleagues⁹⁸ found no association between serum miR-21 levels and lymph node metastasis or other prognostic factors such as postoperative survival rates. The only tumor characteristics which they found to be associated with serum miR-21 were tumor size and advanced pT stage. These data are summarized in Table-3B.

Systematic reviews

A meta-analysis was carried out by Zeng, *et al.*,⁹⁹ in 2013, in order to evaluate the diagnostic value of circulating miR-21 for gastric cancer. Their systematic review was restricted to 5 qualified studies comprising of a total of 251 GC patients and 184 controls. The overall diagnostic power (AUC), pooled sensitivity and specificity were determined as 0.80 (95% CI: 0.76–0.83), 66.5% (95% CI: 55.0%–76.3%) and 83.1% (95% CI: 69.4%–91.5%), respectively. The limitations of this analysis included a relatively small sample size, all of which came from East Asian countries. Keeping in mind that larger prospective studies are needed to draw a firm conclusion, the authors recommended circulating miR-21 as a diagnostic biomarker for GC with moderate sensitivity and good specificity.

In 2014, Zhu, *et al.*¹⁰⁰ performed a meta-analysis which included 22 studies on a collection of 35 miRNA, 8 of which evaluated miR-21. They concluded that the up-regulation of circulating miR-21 was most consistent among the 35 studied miRNA for detection of GC, with overall diagnostic power (AUC), pooled sensitivity and specificity of 0.91, 78% (95% CI: 71%–85%), and 89%(95% CI: 82%–94%), respectively. Admitting to the need for larger studies, using high throughput techniques, the consistent elevation of circulating miR-21 in GC patients and its subsequent decline following the resection of tumors, prompted the authors to re-emphasize the diagnostic potential of circulating miR-21 in detecting GC.

The next systematic review, which was carried out the same year by Shrestha and colleagues,¹⁰¹ complemented that of Zhu, *et al.*,¹⁰⁰ by pooling the available miRNA data obtained from gastric tissue. Similar to the conclusions made on serologic studies, these investigators found miR-21 as the most consistently up regulated miRNA in the gastric tumors vs. normal tissue. Collection of data from the 10 evaluated reports yielded a median fold change of 4.05 for miR-21 overexpression in tumor tissue, ranging from 1.49 to 10.44. This analysis once again highlighted the diagnostic value of miR-21 in diagnosis of GC, but at the tissue level.

The only meta-analysis assessing the value of miR-21 in prognosis of GC was performed by Wang and colleagues¹⁰² who evaluated 8 eligible studies published from 2008 to 2013. These investigators concluded that higher expression of miR-21 is significantly associated with poorer survival, tumor differentiation, lymph node metastasis, and TNM stage. Their analysis, despite a relatively limited sample size with a geographic bias, highlights the application of miR-21 in prognosis of gastric cancer patients.

Concluding remarks

In summary, the indicative role of circulating miR-21, as a noninvasive reflection of GC progression and regression, makes this oncomir a particularly attractive diagnostic and prognostic biomarker. The fact that it can be manipulated and its oncogenicity can be inhibited or reversed, qualifies it as a potential target in devising treatment strategies. Altogether, the state-of-the-art supports its inclusion in the list of high priority GC biomarkers, but calls for additional larger sized validation studies, tracing it back to the pre-neoplastic stages, when detection of GC risk may lead to disease prevention and/or treatment.

Acknowledgment

This study was supported by a technical assistance grant (IRN-072), which was co-funded by the Islamic Development Bank, Saudi Arabia and Pasteur Institute of Iran.

References

- 1. Globocan 2012 report. Available from: URL: http://globocan.iarc.fr.
- Karami N, Talebkhan Y, Saberi S, Esmaeili M, Oghalaie A, Abdirad A, et al. Seroreactivity to *Helicobacter pylori* antigens as a risk indicator of gastric cancer. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2013; 14(3): 1813-7.
- Douraghi M, Talebkhan Y, Zeraati H, Mohammadi M. Cooperative genotyping for *Helicobacter pylori* virulence determinants strengthens the predictive value of gastric cancer risk assessment. *Dig Liver Dis.* 2010; 42(9): 662-3.
- Saberi S, Douraghi M, Azadmanesh K, Shokrgozar MA, Zeraati H, Hosseini ME, et al. A potential association between *Helicobacter pylori* CagA EPIYA and multimerization motifs with cytokeratin 18 cleavage rate during early apoptosis. *Helicobacter*. 2012; 17(5): 350-7.
- Douraghi M, Talebkhan Y, Zeraati H, Ebrahimzadeh F, Nahvijoo A, Morakabati A, et al. Multiple gene status in *Helicobacter pylori* strains and risk of gastric cancer development. *Digestion*. 2009; 80(3): 200-7.
- Fock KM. Review article: the epidemiology and prevention of gastric cancer. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2014; 40(3): 250-60.
- Karimi P, Islami F, Anandasabapathy S, Freedman ND, Kamangar F. Gastric cancer: descriptive epidemiology, risk factors, screening, and prevention. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2014; 23(5): 700-13.
- Piazuelo MB, Correa P. Gastric cancer: Overview. Colomb Med (Cali). 2013; 44(3): 192-201.
- Shiotani A, Cen P, Graham DY. Eradication of gastric cancer is now both possible and practical. *Semin Cancer Biol.* 2013; 23(6 Pt B): 492-501.
- Graham DY. *Helicobacter pylori* update: gastric cancer, reliable therapy, and possible benefits. *Gastroenterology*. 2015; 148(4): 719-31.e3.
- Bartel DP. MicroRNAs: genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function. *Cell*. 2004; 116(2): 281-97.
- Ambros V. The functions of animal microRNAs. *Nature*. 2004; 431(7006): 350-5.
- Krol J, Loedige I, Filipowicz W. The widespread regulation of microRNA biogenesis, function and decay. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2010; 11(9): 597-610.
- D'Anzeo M, Faloppi L, Scartozzi M, Giampieri R, Bianconi M, Del Prete M, et al. The role of micro-RNAs in hepatocellular carcinoma: from molecular biology to treatment. *Molecules*. 2014; 19(5): 6393-406.
- Choi SY, Huang P, Jenkins GM, Chan DC, Schiller J, Frohman MA. A common lipid links Mfn-mediated mitochondrial fusion and SNAREregulated exocytosis. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2006; 8(11): 1255-62.
- Braicu C, Cojocneanu-Petric R, Chira S, Truta A, Floares A, Petrut B, et al. Clinical and pathological implications of miRNA in bladder cancer. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2015; 10: 791-800.
- Wang QX, Zhu YQ, Zhang H, Xiao J. Altered MiRNA expression in gastric cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cell Physiol Biochem.* 2015; 35(3): 933-44.
- Ren A, Dong Y, Tsoi H, Yu J. Detection of miRNA as non-invasive biomarkers of colorectal cancer. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2015; 16(2): 2810-23.
- George J, Patel T. Noncoding RNA as therapeutic targets for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Semin Liver Dis.* 2015; 35(1): 63-74.
- Costa PM, Cardoso AL, Mano M, de Lima MC. MicroRNAs in glioblastoma: role in pathogenesis and opportunities for targeted therapies. *CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets*. 2015; 14(2): 222-38.
- Galamb A, Benczik M, Zinner B, Vigh E, Baghy K, Jeney C, et al. Dysregulation of microRNA expression in human cervical preneo-

plastic and neoplastic lesions. Pathol Oncol Res. 2015.

- Bouyssou JM, Manier S, Huynh D, Issa S, Roccaro AM, Ghobrial IM. Regulation of microRNAs in cancer metastasis. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2014; 1845(2): 255-65.
- Guo X, Xia J, Yan J. Promoter methylated microRNAs: potential therapeutic targets in gastric cancer. *Mol Med Rep.* 2015; 11(2): 759-65.
- Matuszcak C, Haier J, Hummel R, Lindner K. MicroRNAs: promising chemoresistance biomarkers in gastric cancer with diagnostic and therapeutic potential. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2014; 20(38): 13658-66.
- 25. Liu HS, Xiao HS. MicroRNAs as potential biomarkers for gastric cancer. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2014; 20(34): 12007-17.
- Shin VY, Chu KM. MiRNA as potential biomarkers and therapeutic targets for gastric cancer. World J Gastroenterol. 2014; 20(30): 10432-9.
- Toiyama Y, Okugawa Y, Goel A. DNA methylation and microRNA biomarkers for noninvasive detection of gastric and colorectal cancer. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2014; 455(1-2): 43-57.
- Li S, Yang X, Yang J, Zhen J, Zhang D. Serum microRNA-21 as a potential diagnostic biomarker for breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Exp Med.* 2014 Dec 17. [Epub ahead of print]
- Sekar D, Hairul Islam VI, Thirugnanasambantham K, Saravanan S. Relevance of miR-21 in HIV and non-HIV-related lymphomas. *Tumour Biol.* 2014; 35(9): 8387-93.
- Fu C, Dong W, Wang Z, Li H, Qin Q, Li B. The expression of miR-21 and miR-375 predict prognosis of esophageal cancer. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2014; 446(4): 1197-203.
- Liu J, Zhu H, Yang X, Ge Y, Zhang C, Qin Q, et al. MicroRNA-21 is a novel promising target in cancer radiation therapy. *Tumour Biol.* 2014; 35(5): 3975-9.
- Chen J, Wang X. MicroRNA-21 in breast cancer: diagnostic and prognostic potential. *Transl Oncol.* 2014; 16(3): 225-33.
- Wang Y, Gao X, Wei F, Zhang X, Yu J, Zhao H, et al. Diagnostic and prognostic value of circulating miR-21 for cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gene.* 2014; 533(1): 389-97.
- Hong L, Han Y, Zhang Y, Zhang H, Zhao Q, Wu K, et al. MicroR-NA-21: a therapeutic target for reversing drug resistance in cancer. *Expert Opin Ther Targets*. 2013; 17(9): 1073-80.
- Yang M, Shen H, Qiu C, Ni Y, Wang L, Dong W, et al. High expression of miR-21 and miR-155 predicts recurrence and unfavourable survival in non-small cell lung cancer. *Eur J Cancer*. 2013; 49(3): 604-15.
- Gao W, Xu J, Liu L, Shen H, Zeng H, Shu Y. A systematic-analysis of predicted miR-21 targets identifies a signature for lung cancer. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2012; 66(1): 21-8.
- Lagos-Quintana M, Rauhut R, Lendeckel W, Tuschl T. Identification of novel genes coding for small expressed RNAs. *Science*. 2001; 294(5543): 853-8.
- Krichevsky AM, Gabriely G. miR-21: a small multi-faceted RNA. J Cell Mol Med. 2009; 13(1): 39-53.
- Fujita S, Ito T, Mizutani T, Minoguchi S, Yamamichi N, Sakurai K, et al. miR-21 Gene expression triggered by AP-1 is sustained through a double-negative feedback mechanism. *J Mol Biol.* 2008; 378(3): 492-504.
- Cai X, Hagedorn CH, Cullen BR. Human microRNAs are processed from capped, polyadenylated transcripts that can also function as mRNAs. *RNA*. 2004; 10(12): 1957-66.
- Schistosomes, liver flukes and *Helicobacter pylori*. IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. Lyon, 7-14 June 1994. *IARC Monogr Eval Carcinog Risks Hum*. 1994; 61: 1-241.
- Zhang Z, Li Z, Gao C, Chen P, Chen J, Liu W, et al. miR-21 plays a pivotal role in gastric cancer pathogenesis and progression. *Lab Invest.* 2008; 88(12): 1358-66.
- Shiotani A, Uedo N, Iishi H, Murao T, Kanzaki T, Kimura Y, et al. *H. pylori* eradication did not improve dysregulation of specific oncogenic miRNAs in intestinal metaplastic glands. *J Gastroenterol*. 2012; 47(9): 988-98.
- Shiotani A, Murao T, Kimura Y, Matsumoto H, Kamada T, Kusunoki H, et al. Iden Br J Cancer. tification of serum miRNAs as novel non-invasive biomarkers for detection of high risk for early gastric cancer. Br J Cancer. 2013; 109(9): 2323-30.
- Li BS, Zhao YL, Guo G, Li W, Zhu ED, Luo X, et al. Plasma microR-NAs, miR-223, miR-21 and miR-218, as novel potential biomarkers for gastric cancer detection. *PloS one*. 2012; 7(7): e41629.
- Noto JM, Peek RM. The role of microRNAs in *Helicobacter pylori* pathogenesis and gastric carcinogenesis. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol*. 2011; 1: 21.
- 47. Belair C, Darfeuille F, Staedel C. *Helicobacter pylori* and gastric cancer: possible role of microRNAs in this intimate relationship. *Clin Mi*-

crobiol Infect. 2009; 15(9): 806-12.

- Motoyama K, Inoue H, Mimori K, Tanaka F, Kojima K, Uetake H, et al. Clinicopathological and prognostic significance of PDCD4 and microRNA-21 in human gastric cancer. *Int J Oncol.* 2010; 36(5): 1089-95.
- Cao Z, Yoon JH, Nam SW, Lee JY, Park WS. PDCD4 expression inversely correlated with miR-21 levels in gastric cancers. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* 2012; 138(4): 611-9.
- Zhang BG, Li JF, Yu BQ, Zhu ZG, Liu BY, Yan M. microRNA-21 promotes tumor proliferation and invasion in gastric cancer by targeting PTEN. *Oncol Rep.* 2012; 27(4): 1019-26.
- Yang SM, Huang C, Li XF, Yu MZ, He Y, Li J. miR-21 confers cisplatin resistance in gastric cancer cells by regulating PTEN. *Toxicology*. 2013; 306: 162-8.
- Eto K, Iwatsuki M, Watanabe M, Ida S, Ishimoto T, Iwagami S, et al. The microRNA-21/PTEN pathway regulates the sensitivity of HER2positive gastric cancer cells to trastuzumab. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2014; 21(1): 343-50.
- Li L, Zhou L, Li Y, Lin S, Tomuleasa C. MicroRNA-21 stimulates gastric cancer growth and invasion by inhibiting the tumor suppressor effects of programmed cell death protein 4 and phosphatase and tensin homolog. *J BUON*. 2014; 19(1): 228-36.
- Sha M, Ye J, Zhang LX, Luan ZY, Chen YB, Huang JX. Celastrol induces apoptosis of gastric cancer cells by miR-21 inhibiting PI3K/ Akt-NF-kappaB signaling pathway. *Pharmacology*. 2014; 93(1-2): 39-46.
- Yamanaka S, Olaru AV, An F, Luvsanjav D, Jin Z, Agarwal R, et al. MicroRNA-21 inhibits Serpini1, a gene with novel tumour suppressive effects in gastric cancer. *Dig Liver Dis.* 2012; 44(7): 589-96.
- Shin VY, Jin H, Ng EK, Cheng AS, Chong WW, Wong CY, et al. NFkappaB targets miR-16 and miR-21 in gastric cancer: involvement of prostaglandin E receptors. *Carcinogenesis*. 2011; 32(2): 240-5.
- Yang Q, Xu E, Dai J, Wu J, Zhang S, Peng B, et al. miR-21 regulates N-methyl-N-nitro-N²-nitrosoguanidine-induced gastric tumorigenesis by targeting FASLG and BTG2. *Toxicol Lett.* 2014; 228(3): 147-56.
- Golestaneh AF, Atashi A, Langroudi L, Shafiee A, Ghaemi N, Soleimani M. miRNAs expressed differently in cancer stem cells and cancer cells of human gastric cancer cell line MKN-45. *Cell Biochem Funct*. 2012; 30(5): 411-8.
- Xu L, Dai WQ, Xu XF, Wang F, He L, Guo CY. Effects of multipletarget anti-microRNA antisense oligodeoxyribonucleotides on proliferation and migration of gastric cancer cells. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2012; 13(7): 3203-7.
- Volinia S, Calin GA, Liu CG, Ambs S, Cimmino A, Petrocca F, et al. A microRNA expression signature of human solid tumors defines cancer gene targets. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2006; 103(7): 2257-61.
- Pan HW, Li SC, Tsai KW. MicroRNA dysregulation in gastric cancer. Curr Pharm Des. 2013; 19(7): 1273-84.
- Tchernitsa O, Kasajima A, Schafer R, Kuban RJ, Ungethum U, Gyorffy B, et al. Systematic evaluation of the miRNA-ome and its downstream effects on mRNA expression identifies gastric cancer progression. *J Pathol.* 2010; 222(3): 310-9.
- Petrocca F, Visone R, Onelli MR, Shah MH, Nicoloso MS, de Martino I, et al. E2F1-regulated microRNAs impair TGFbeta-dependent cell-cycle arrest and apoptosis in gastric cancer. *Cancer Cell*. 2008; 13(3): 272-86.
- Guo J, Miao Y, Xiao B, Huan R, Jiang Z, Meng D, et al. Differential expression of microRNA species in human gastric cancer versus nontumorous tissues. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2009; 24(4): 652-7.
- Ding L, Xu Y, Zhang W, Deng Y, Si M, Du Y, et al. MiR-375 frequently downregulated in gastric cancer inhibits cell proliferation by targeting JAK2. *Cell Res.* 2010; 20(7): 784-93.
- Tsukamoto Y, Nakada C, Noguchi T, Tanigawa M, Nguyen LT, Uchida T, et al. MicroRNA-375 is downregulated in gastric carcinomas and regulates cell survival by targeting PDK1 and 14-3-3zeta. *Cancer Res.* 2010; 70(6): 2339-49.
- Ueda T, Volinia S, Okumura H, Shimizu M, Taccioli C, Rossi S, et al. Relation between microRNA expression and prognosis of gastric cancer: a microRNA expression analysis. *Lancet Oncol.* 2010; 11(2): 136-46.
- Kim CH, Kim HK, Rettig RL, Kim J, Lee ET, Aprelikova O, et al. miRNA signature associated with outcome of gastric cancer patients following chemotherapy. *BMC Med Genomics*. 2011; 4: 79.
- Li X, Zhang Y, Zhang H, Liu X, Gong T, Li M, et al. miRNA-223 promotes gastric cancer invasion and metastasis by targeting tumor suppressor EPB41L3. *Mol Cancer Res.* 2011; 9(7): 824-33.

- Li X, Luo F, Li Q, Xu M, Feng D, Zhang G, et al. Identification of new aberrantly expressed miRNAs in intestinal-type gastric cancer and its clinical significance. *Oncol Rep.* 2011; 26(6): 1431-9.
- Oh HK, Tan AL, Das K, Ooi CH, Deng NT, Tan IB, et al. Genomic loss of miR-486 regulates tumor progression and the OLFM4 antiapoptotic factor in gastric cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2011; 17(9): 2657-67.
- Inoue T, Iinuma H, Ogawa E, Inaba T, Fukushima R. Clinicopathological and prognostic significance of microRNA-107 and its relationship to DICER1 mRNA expression in gastric cancer. *Oncol Rep.* 2012; 27(6): 1759-64.
- Chan SH, Wu CW, Li AF, Chi CW, Lin WC. miR-21 microRNA expression in human gastric carcinomas and its clinical association. *Anticancer Res.* 2008; 28(2a): 907-11.
- Liu L, Chen Q, Lai R, Wu X, Wu X, Liu F, et al. Elevated expression of mature miR-21 and miR-155 in cancerous gastric tissues from Chinese patients with gastric cancer. *J Biomed Res.* 2010; 24(3): 187-97.
- Jiang J, Zheng X, Xu X, Zhou Q, Yan H, Zhang X, et al. Prognostic significance of miR-181b and miR-21 in gastric cancer patients treated with S-1/Oxaliplatin or Doxifluridine/Oxaliplatin. *PloS one*. 2011; 6(8): e23271.
- Wang JL, Hu Y, Kong X, Wang ZH, Chen HY, Xu J, et al. Candidate microRNA biomarkers in human gastric cancer: a systematic review and validation study. *PloS one*. 2013; 8(9): e73683.
- 77. Osawa S, Shimada Y, Sekine S, Okumura T, Nagata T, Fukuoka J, et al. MicroRNA profiling of gastric cancer patients from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded samples. *Oncol Let.* 2011; 2(4): 613-9.
- Kim BH, Hong SW, Kim A, Choi SH, Yoon SO. Prognostic implications for high expression of oncogenic microRNAs in advanced gastric carcinoma. *J Surg Oncol.* 2013; 107(5): 505-10.
- Cui L, Zhang X, Ye G, Zheng T, Song H, Deng H, et al. Gastric juice MicroRNAs as potential biomarkers for the screening of gastric cancer. *Cancer*. 2013; 119(9): 1618-26.
- Tsai KW, Liao YL, Wu CW, Hu LY, Li SC, Chan WC, et al. Aberrant expression of miR-196a in gastric cancers and correlation with recurrence. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer*. 2012; 51(4): 394-401.
- Zhu C, Ren C, Han J, Ding Y, Du J, Dai N, et al. A five-microRNA panel in plasma was identified as potential biomarker for early detection of gastric cancer. *Br J Cancer*. 2014; 110(9): 2291-9.
- 82. Tsujiura M, Ichikawa D, Komatsu S, Shiozaki A, Takeshita H, Kosuga T, et al. Circulating microRNAs in plasma of patients with gastric cancers. *Br J Cancer*. 2010; 102(7): 1174-9.
- Ma GJ, Gu RM, Zhu M, Wen X, Li JT, Zhang YY, et al. Plasma postoperative miR-21 expression in the prognosis of gastric cancers. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2013; 14(12): 7551-4.
- Song J, Bai Z, Han W, Zhang J, Meng H, Bi J, et al. Identification of suitable reference genes for qPCR analysis of serum microRNA in gastric cancer patients. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2012; 57(4): 897-904.
- Wang B, Zhang Q. The expression and clinical significance of circulating microRNA-21 in serum of five solid tumors. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* 2012; 138(10): 1659-66.
- Zheng Y, Cui L, Sun W, Zhou H, Yuan X, Huo M, et al. MicroRNA-21 is a new marker of circulating tumor cells in gastric cancer patients. *Cancer Biomark.* 2011; 10(2): 71-7.

- Karimi Kurdistani Z, Mahdian R, Darbouy M, Oghalaie A, Saberi S, Esmaeili M, et al. European Helicobacter Study Group XXVIIth International Workshop on Helicobacter and Microbiota in Chronic Digestive Inflammation and Gastric Cancer. *Helicobacter*. 19(S1): 94.
- Song MY, Pan KF, Su HJ, Zhang L, Ma JL, Li JY, et al. Identification of serum microRNAs as novel non-invasive biomarkers for early detection of gastric cancer. *PloS one*. 2012; 7(3): e33608.
- Cai H, Yuan Y, Hao YF, Guo TK, Wei X, Zhang YM. Plasma microR-NAs serve as novel potential biomarkers for early detection of gastric cancer. *Med Oncol.* 2013; 30(1): 452.
- Heegaard NH, Schetter AJ, Welsh JA, Yoneda M, Bowman ED, Harris CC. Circulating micro-RNA expression profiles in early stage nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Int J Cancer*. 2012; 130(6): 1378-86.
- Li X, Zhang Y, Zhang Y, Ding J, Wu K, Fan D. Survival prediction of gastric cancer by a seven-microRNA signature. *Gut.* 2010; 59(5): 579-85.
- Xu Y, Sun J, Xu J, Li Q, Guo Y, Zhang Q. miR-21 Is a promising novel biomarker for lymph node metastasis in patients with gastric cancer. *Gastroenterol Res Pract*. 2012; 2012: 640168.
- Valladares-Ayerbes M, Blanco M, Haz M, Medina V, Iglesias-Diaz P, Lorenzo-Patino MJ, et al. Prognostic impact of disseminated tumor cells and microRNA-17-92 cluster deregulation in gastrointestinal cancer. *Int J Oncol.* 2011; 39(5): 1253-64.
- Hirata K, Suzuki H, Imaeda H, Matsuzaki J, Tsugawa H, Nagano O, et al. CD44 variant 9 expression in primary early gastric cancer as a predictive marker for recurrence. *Br J cancer*. 2013; 109(2): 379-86.
- Uozaki H, Morita S, Kumagai A, Aso T, Soejima Y, Takahashi Y, et al. Stromal miR-21 is more important than miR-21 of tumour cells for the progression of gastric cancer. *Histopathology*. 2014; 65(6): 775-83.
- Komatsu S, Ichikawa D, Tsujiura M, Konishi H, Takeshita H, Nagata H, et al. Prognostic impact of circulating miR-21 in the plasma of patients with gastric carcinoma. *Anticancer Res.* 2013; 33(1): 271-6.
- Kim SY, Jeon TY, Choi CI, Kim DH, Kim DH, Kim GH, et al. Validation of circulating miRNA biomarkers for predicting lymph node metastasis in gastric cancer. *J Mol Diagn*. 2013; 15(5): 661-9.
- Song J, Bai Z, Zhang J, Meng H, Cai J, Deng W, et al. Serum microRNA-21 levels are related to tumor size in gastric cancer patients but cannot predict prognosis. *Oncol Lett.* 2013; 6(6): 1733-7.
- Zeng Z, Wang J, Zhao L, Hu P, Zhang H, Tang X, et al. Potential role of microRNA-21 in the diagnosis of gastric cancer: a meta-analysis. *PloS one*. 2013; 8(9): e73278.
- Zhu X, Lv M, Wang H, Guan W. Identification of circulating microR-NAs as novel potential biomarkers for gastric cancer detection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2014; 59(5): 911-9.
- Shrestha S, Hsu SD, Huang WY, Huang HY, Chen W, Weng SL, et al. A systematic review of microRNA expression profiling studies in human gastric cancer. *Cancer Med.* 2014; 3(4): 878-88.
- Wang Z, Cai Q, Jiang Z, Liu B, Zhu Z, Li C. Prognostic role of MicroRNA-21 in gastric cancer: a meta-analysis. *Med Sci Monit*. 2014; 20: 1668-74.
- Sha M, Ye J, Zhang L, Luan Z, Chen Y, Huang J. Celastrol induces apoptosis of gastric cancer cells by miR-21 inhibiting PI3K/Akt-NFκB signaling pathway. *Pharmacology*. 2013; 93(1-2): 39-46.